August 21, 2008



Department of Tax & Revenue Property Tax Division Greenbroke Building 1124 Smith Street Charleston, WV 25301

#### Gentlemen:

This proposal is provided to the State of West Virginia for property reassessment Appraisal Research Corporation is sensitive to the fact that, in addition to price, your selection criteria includes:

- 1. The quality of services and experience of our company and it's key personnel;
- 2. The ability of our company to execute the project and evidence of our competence; and
- 3. A demonstrated desire to produce quality work on behalf of your Counties.

We believe this proposal reflects a concerted effort by a qualified, accomplished, and motivated company. Should any of the elements in the proposal require further clarification or negotiation, please contact us. We are extremely interested in providing services to your State.

Respectfully Submitted,

President & CEO

AISAL RESEARCH CORPOLATION

101 E. Sandusky St., 5th Floor, Findlay, Ohio 45840-1002

	Land Review	Neighborhood Review	Review of Asessment/Sal Sold vs Unsold	Asessment/Sales	FINAL	TOTAL	Bid	Per Parcel				
E COON	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	RFQ Parcels	Amount	(Bid)	ALL RES ALL PAR	ALL COM PARCELS	ALL IND PARCELS	TOTAL RFQ Parcels
Barbour	\$49,490	\$3,430	006'8\$	\$4,810	\$5,800	12,692	\$72,430	\$5.71	12,235	417	40	12,692
Berkeley	\$150,130	\$11,915	\$35,745	\$16,681	\$19,064	51,920	\$233,535	\$4.50	50,036	1,810	74	51,920
Brooke	\$47,569	\$3,659	\$10,246	\$5,123	\$5,855	14,358	\$72,451	\$5.05	13,344	881	133	14,358
Grant	\$33,209	\$2,974	\$7,931	\$3,470	\$3,965	9,438	\$51,549	\$5.46	8,936	450	52	9,438
Hampshire	\$60,007	\$4,839	\$12,582	\$5,807	\$6,775	19,687	\$90,011	\$4.57	19,271	366	17	19,687
Hancock	\$58,740	\$4,589	\$11,014	\$6,425	\$6,425	20,122	\$87,192	\$4.33	18,487	1,510	125	20,122
Hardy	\$40,286	\$4,209	\$9,620	\$4,209	\$4,810	11,568	\$63,134	\$5.46	11,113	394	61	11,568
Harrison	\$133,755	\$10,289	\$28,809	\$14,404	\$16,462	49,996	\$203,719	\$4.07	46,421	3,504	71	49,996
Jefferson	\$88,741	\$6,723	\$18,824	\$9,412	\$10,757	29,037	\$134,457	\$4.63	28,009	993	32	29,037
Marion	\$115,232	\$8,473	\$23,724	\$10,168	\$13,557	38,056	\$171,154	\$4.50	35,119	2,820	117	38,056
Marshall	\$66,329	\$5,182	\$15,546	\$7,255	\$8,291	20,438	\$102,603	\$5.02	19,235	1,059	144	20,438
Mineral	\$56,576	\$4,352	\$10,445	\$6,093	£96'9\$	17,635	\$84,428	\$4.79	16,827	745	63	17,635
Monongalia	\$138,405	\$10,647	\$31,940	\$12,776	\$14,905	46,841	\$208,672	\$4.45	43,681	3,074	86	46,841
Morgan	\$49,299	\$3,679	\$10,301	\$4,415	\$5,151	14,486	\$72,845	\$5.03	14,019	369	86	14,486
Ohio	\$76,211	\$8,207	\$15,242	\$7,035	086,880	23,851	\$116,076	\$4.87	21,379	2,379	63	23,851
Preston	\$119,240	\$9,937	\$23,848	\$11,924	\$13,911	29,477	\$178,861	\$6.07	28,672	769	36	29,477
Taylor	\$41,982	\$3,229	889'6\$	\$3,875	\$3,875	11,921	\$62,649	\$5.26	11,362	531	28	11,921
Tucker	\$36,486	\$3,756	\$8,048	\$3,219	\$4,292	9,355	\$55,802	\$5.96	8,922	411	22	9,355
Wetzel	\$51,468	\$4,679	\$11,697	\$4,679	\$6,239	14,158	\$78,761	\$5.56	13,450	694	41	14,158
Overall	\$1,413,155	\$114,768	\$304,150	\$141,779	\$166,477	445,036	\$2,140,329	\$4.81	420,518	23,209	1,309	445,036



### Bid Response

State of West Virginia

Property Assessment

### RECEIVED

2000 AUG 22 A 10 28

STATE OF WV

Letter of Transmittal Scope of Services 2 Summary Pricing 3 Contractor Profile and Qualifications **Affidavits** 5

August 21, 2008



Department of Tax & Revenue Property Tax Division Greenbroke Building 1124 Smith Street Charleston, WV 25301

#### Gentlemen:

This proposal is provided to the State of West Virginia for property reassessment Appraisal Research Corporation is sensitive to the fact that, in addition to price, your selection criteria includes:

- 1. The quality of services and experience of our company and it's key personnel;
- 2. The ability of our company to execute the project and evidence of our competence; and
- 3. A demonstrated desire to produce quality work on behalf of your Counties.

We believe this proposal reflects a concerted effort by a qualified, accomplished, and motivated company. Should any of the elements in the proposal require further clarification or negotiation, please contact us. We are extremely interested in providing services to your State.

Respectfully Submitted,

VI FRAISAL RESEARCH CORPORATION

President & CEO

#### **Scope of Services Summary**

Appraisal Research Corporation (ARC) provides the following in response to the RFQ (TAX09001) by the State of West Virginia State Tax Commission, Property Tax Division for appraisal services to evaluate the quality of property assessment in 19 of the State's counties.

#### Services Overview

ARC will complete the activities as requested in the RFQ as to the gathering and examination of sufficient facts and information, including sales data, which will allow for a concise and proper analysis of the appraised value of residential, commercial and industrial real property in West Virginia as specified by the RFQ. ARC will also produce a report on the quality of the data, appraised value in comparison to sales information accuracy and uniformity of property tax assessments according to fair market value. ARC will provide final recommendations regarding these areas of examination in accordance with the requirements of the RFQ.

#### **Project Duties**

The review process shall be carried out in accordance with the laws and regulations regarding the appraisal and assessment of real property in the state of West Virginia. The scope of work shall include (1) Final Recommendations concerning the accuracy and quality of the appraisal in the subject counties; (2) Subjective parcel and neighborhood ratings review; (3) Statistical analysis for land value modification; (4) Parameters for neighborhood boundary delineation; (5) Statistical analysis of the assessment/sales ratio studies; (6) final determination of accuracy of data collection to include quality grade and condition, desirability and usefulness; (7) final determination if "sales chasing" is occurring. "Sales chasing" is defined as the practice of using the sale of a property to trigger a reappraisal of that property at or near the selling price. "Sales chasing" causes invalid uniformity results in a sales ratio study and causes invalid appraisal level results unless similar unsold parcels are reappraised at a method that produces an appraisal level for unsold properties equal to the appraisal level of sold properties. Each of these duties shall be addressed in the Final Report.

#### Duties:

1. Review of Land Valuation: Reviewing land values established by the county assessor. We will use the land valuation neighborhood maps, and all land valuation support documentation to review the land valuations. We will review sales collected by the

county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve-month period, we will use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective Tax Year. We will then submit the study and any land value recommendations in report form to the State Tax Commissioner.

- 2. <u>Review of Neighborhood Boundaries:</u> ARC will review neighborhood boundaries by reviewing the samples designated by the RFQ. We will submit the study and any recommended neighborhood boundary changes in report form to the State Tax Commissioner.
- 3. Review of Data Collection & Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties: ARC will perform a field review of the sample of residential, commercial and industrial improved parcels, as designated by the RFQ, to assure that: (1) the data collection accurately and uniformly reflects the proper quality grades, CDU and appraised values within the neighborhood boundaries and (2) sold and unsold properties are treated equally and that "sales chasing" is not occurring.
- 4. Assessment/Sales Ratio Study: ARC will perform an assessment/sales ratio analysis study according to standards as determined by the State Tax Department and is in a form acceptable to the' State Tax Department. The West Virginia Assessment Ratio Study Tax Year 2007. The sales ratio analysis study will contain sales that occurred 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year and analyze current market trends and stratification of different property types within each neighborhood. The sales included in the study are to be "validated" to assure each sale is an "arm's length transaction." An assessment/sales ratio study and recommendations shall be submitted to the State Tax Commissioner. The company will validate all sales with the exception of multi-parcel sales to be used in the assessment/sales ratio analysis study that have been entered on the statewide computer network by the County Assessor. Each sale reviewed will be recorded on a form as designated by the State Tax Commissioner.
- 5. <u>Final Report:</u> ARC will provide a final report for each county which will contain a summary of their findings and a summary of our recommendations for each of the activities outlined above.

#### 6. Reports:

ARC understands the need and importance of planning and will provide a comprehensive work plan to the State Tax Commissioner and the Project Manager once the contract is awarded. The work plan will be a working document that establishes

procedure and measures performance. The work plan will detail the billing process, completion schedule and quality control plan. Either the State Tax Commissioner or the Project Manager can request updates or amendments to the work plan as the project progresses.

ARC will inform the Project Manager and the State Tax Department of the project progress during meetings held monthly. A monthly progress report will be provided at the meeting reflecting the status of the county or counties currently in progress. The company will provide access to all records requested by the Project Manager or the State Tax Department for the purpose of project monitoring.

#### 7. Public Relations:

Utilizing a template for news releases provided by the State Tax Department, ARC will provide the County Assessors with news releases alerting property owners of the areas in which work is being performed, general information about the project objectives, and methods used in the program. The company will have all news releases or public notices approved by the State Tax Department prior to release by the Company.

COUNTY	Land Review	Neighborhood Review	Review of Asessment/Sa Sold vs Unsold	Asessment/Sales	FINAL	TOTAL	Bid	Per Parcel Total Fee	***************************************			
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	KFU Parceis	Amount	(Bid)	ALL RES PARCELS	ALL COM PARCELS	ALL IND PARCELS	TOTAL RFQ Parcels
Barbour	\$49,490	\$3,430	006'8\$	\$4,810	\$5,800	12,692	\$72,430	\$5.71	12,235	417	40	12,692
Berkeley	\$150,130	\$11,915	\$35,745	\$16,681	\$19,064	51,920	\$233,535	\$4.50	50,036	1,810	74	51,920
Brooke	\$47,569	\$3,659	\$10,246	\$5,123	\$5,855	14,358	\$72,451	\$5.05	13,344	881	133	14,358
Grant	\$33,209	\$2,974	\$7,931	\$3,470	\$3,965	9,438	\$51,549	\$5.46	8,936	450	52	9,438
Hampshire	\$60,007	\$4,839	\$12,582	\$5,807	\$6,775	19,687	\$90,011	\$4.57	19,271	399	17	19,687
Hancock	\$58,740	\$4,589	\$11,014	\$6,425	\$6,425	20,122	\$87,192	\$4.33	18,487	1,510	125	20,122
Hardy	\$40,286	\$4,209	\$9,620	\$4,209	\$4,810	11,568	\$63,134	\$5.46	11,113	394	61	11,568
Harrison	\$133,755	\$10,289	\$28,809	\$14,404	\$16,462	49,996	\$203,719	\$4.07	46,421	3,504	71	49,996
Jefferson	\$88,741	\$6,723	\$18,824	\$9,412	\$10,757	29,037	\$134,457	\$4.63	28,009	993	35	29,037
Marion	\$115,232	\$8,473	\$23,724	\$10,168	\$13,557	38,056	\$171,154	\$4.50	35,119	2,820	117	38,056
Marshall	\$66,329	\$5,182	\$15,546	\$7,255	\$8,291	20,438	\$102,603	\$5.02	19,235	1,059	144	20,438
Mineral	\$56,576	\$4,352	\$10,445	\$6,093	\$6,963	17,635	\$84,428	\$4.79	16,827	745	63	17,635
Monongalia	\$138,405	\$10,647	\$31,940	\$12,776	\$14,905	46,841	\$208,672	\$4.45	43,681	3,074	86	46,841
Morgan	\$49,299	\$3,679	\$10,301	\$4,415	\$5,151	14,486	\$72,845	\$5.03	14,019	369	86	14,486
Ohio	\$76,211	\$8,207	\$15,242	\$7,035	\$9,380	23,851	\$116,076	\$4.87	21,379	2,379	93	23,851
Preston	\$119,240	\$9,937	\$23,848	\$11,924	\$13,911	29,477	\$178,861	\$6.07	28,672	769	36	29,477
Taylor	\$41,982	\$3,229	889'6\$	\$3,875	\$3,875	11,921	\$62,649	\$5.26	11,362	531	28	11,921
Tucker	\$36,486	\$3,756	\$8,048	\$3,219	\$4,292	9,355	\$55,802	\$5.96	8,922	411	22	9,355
Wetzel	\$51,468	\$4,679	\$11,697	\$4,679	\$6,239	14,158	\$78,761	\$5.56	13,450	694	14	14,158
Overall	\$1,413,155	\$114,768	\$304,150	\$141,779	\$166,477	445,036	\$2,140,329	\$4.81	420,518	23,209	1,309	445,036

TAX09001

Γ								
	Final Report	Price per County	5,800				5,800	
ACTIVITY	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	4,810				4,810	
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	347				347	
	llection on of operties	Total		4,539	2,937	1,424	8,900	
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 18.53	\$ 367.13	\$ 1,424.00	\$ 35.04	
	Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		245	æ	<b>,</b>	254	
ACTIVITY	rhood	Total	3,430				3,430	
	Review of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,715.00	Neighborhood			\$ 1,715.00	
	Review	Neighbor- hoods to be	2				2	
	ion*	Price per County	49,490				49,490	
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		12.235	417	40	12,692	
	County	Barbour		Docidontial	Commorpial	Commercial	Activity	Otalo

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

72,430

TAX09001

## ACTIVITY

	Final Report	Price per County	19,064					19,064	
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	16,680					16,680	
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	3257					3257	
Hoofion	mecuon on of operties	Total		18,233	11,798	5.720	21.	35,750	
Cotal Sec.	Keview or Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 18.23	\$ 327.71	\$ 2 860 00	4 4,000,00	\$ 34.44	
	Kevlew And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		1000	36	c	7	1038	
	rhood	Total	11,920					11,920	
	r of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 425.71	Neighborhood	•			\$ 425.71	
	Review	Neighbor- hoods to be	28					28	
	of Land ion*	Price per County	150,130					150,130	
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		20 028	4 840	010,1	7.4	51,920	
	County	Berkeley		( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity	Orais

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

233,544

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

### ACTIVITY

Final Report	Price per County	5,855				5,855
Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	5,120				5,120
Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	383		医骨骨毛毛		383
ollection on of operties	Total		5,228	3,383	1,640	10,250
Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 19.58	\$ 187.92	\$ 546.67	\$ 35.59
Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		267	18	3	288
rhood	Total	3,660	p			3,660
v of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,830.00	Veighborhood			\$ 1,830.00
Review	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	2				2
ion*	Price per County	47,570				47,570
Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		13,344	881	133	14,358
County	Brooke		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

72,455

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

The state of the s					Control Connection	jegija pasar je redenie reden	
	Final Report	Price per County	3,965				3,965
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	3,470				3,470
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	312				312
	illection on of operties	Total		4,044	2,617	1,269	7,930
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 22.59	\$ 290.77	\$ 1,268.80	\$ 41.96
	Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		179	6	1	189
ACIIVIIY	rhood	Total	2,970	+			2,970
	v of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 990.00	Veighborhood			\$ 990.00
	Review B	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	3				3
	f Land ion*	Price per County	33,210				33,210
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		8,936	450	52	9,438
	County	Grant		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>L</u>	L

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

51,545

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

## ACTIVITY

		ñ				
Final Report	Price per County	6,775				6,775
Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	5,810				5,810
Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	981				981
llection on of operties	Total		6,416	4,151	2,013	12,580
Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		1	\$ 518.93	\$ 2,012.80	\$ 31.93
Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		385	8	4	394
rhood	Total	4,840	Į			4,840
of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 968.00	Neighborhood			\$ 968.00
Review	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	2	4.			5
ıf Land ion*	Price per County	60,010				60,010
Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		19,271	399	17	19,687
County	Hampshire		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

90,015

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

## ACTIVITY

	Review of Land	of Land	Review	of Neighborhood	rhood	Review And	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of	llection on of	Assessm	Assessment/Sales	Final Report
County	Valuation*	tion*	an .	Boundaries		Sold v.	Sold v. Unsold Properties	perties	Ratio	Ratio Study	
Hancock	Parcels to be reviewed	Price per County	Neighbor- hoods to be	Price per Neighbor hood	Total	Parcels to be reviewed	Price per Parcel	Total	Sales to be reviewed	Price per County	Price per County
		58,740	reviewed 7	\$ 655.71	4,590				499	6,430	6,425
Residential	18,487		¥ .	Neighborhood		370	\$ 15.18	5,615			
Commercial	1,510					30	\$ 121.11	3,633			から かかのから
Industrial	125					3	\$ 587.20	1,762			
Activity Totals	20,122	58,740	~	\$ 655.71	4,590	403	\$ 27.32	11,010	499	6,430	6,425

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

87,194

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

- Handadara	None of the State of				HOUSE		
	Final Report	Price per County	4,810				4,810
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	4,210				4,210
	Assessm	Sales to be reviewed	430				430
	llection on of	Total		4,906	3,175	1,539	9,620
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Insold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 22.10	\$ 396.84	\$ 1,539.27	\$ 41.65
ACTIVITY	Review And	Parcels to be reviewed		222	8	1	231
	rhood	Total	4,210				4,210
	Review of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,052.50	Veighborhead			\$ 1,052.50
	Review B	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	4	6			4
	f Land ion*	Price per County	40,290				40,290
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		11,113	394	61	11,568
	County	Hardy		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
		1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>L</u>	

63,141

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

TAX09001

## ACTIVITY

Final Report	Price per County	16,462				16,462
Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	14,400		医骨骨 医皮肤		14,400
Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	1766				1766
ollection on of operties	Total			9,507	4,610	28,810
Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel			\$ 135.82	\$ 4,609.60	\$ 28.84
Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		928	70	-	666
rhood	Total	10,290	P			10,290
v of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 857.50	Veighborhood			\$ 857.50
Review of Br	Neighbor- hoods to be	. 12	1			12
of Land iion*	Price per County	133,760				133,760
Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		46,421	3,504	71	49,996
County	Harrison		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

TAX09001

## ACTIVITY

					HH6 <del></del>			
	Final Report	Price per County	10,757				10,757	
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	9,412				9,412	
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	1390				1390	
	on of operties	Total		9,603	6,214	3,013	18,830	
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 17.15	\$ 310.70	\$ 3,012.80	\$ 32.41	
	Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		999	20	-	581	
	rhood	Total	6,720	-			6,720	
	Review of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 560.00	Velatiborhood			\$ 560.00	
	Review	Neighbor- hoods to be	12				12	
	f Land ion*	Price per County	88,740				88,740	
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		28,009	993	35	29,037	
	County	Jefferson		Docidontial	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals	lotais

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

TAX09001

## ACTIVITY

				***************************************	Ameles Colores		
	Final Report	Price per County	13,557			13,557	
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	10,168			10,168	
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	1025			1025	
	illection on of operfies	Total		12,097	3,795	23,720	
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 17.23	\$ 1,897.60	\$ 31.21	
	Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		702	2	760	
ACIIVII	rhood	Total	8,470	т		8,470	
	of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,411.67	Neighborhood		\$ 1,411.67	
X	Review o	Neighbor- hoods to be	9			9	
	f Land ion*	Price per County	115,230			115,230	
	Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		35,119	2,820	38,056	
	County	Marion		Residential	Commercial	Activity	Otals

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

### ACTIVITY

Final Report		Price per County	8,291				8,291
Assessment/Sales	Ratio Study	Price per County	7,255				7,255
Assessm Ratio		Sales to be reviewed	545		_		545
ollection on of operties		Total		7,931	5,132	2,488	15,550
Review of Data Collection And Comparison of	Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 20.60	\$ 244.36	\$ 829.33	\$ 38.02
Review	Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed	i i	385	21	3	409
rhood		Total	5,180	P			5,180
of Neighborhood	soundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 863.33	Neighborhood			\$ 863.33
Review	מ	Neighbor- hoods to be	9				9
fLand	ion	Price per County	66,330				66,330
Review of Land	Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		19,235	1,059	144	20,438
County	(Suppose	Marshall		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

102,606

TAX09001

## ACTIVITY

	Final Report	Price per County	6,963				6,963
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	6,093				6,093
	Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	670				670
11004:00	mection on of operties	Total		5,330	3,449	1,672	10,450
7 7 7 7 7 7	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 15.81	\$ 229.90	\$ 1,672.00	\$ 29.60
	Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		337	15	<b>,</b>	353
	r of Neighborhood Boundaries	Total	4,350				4,350
		Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 870.00	Neighborhood			\$ 870.00
	Review	Neighbor- hoods to be	5				5
	if Land ion*	Price per County	56,580				56,580
Review of Land Valuation*		Parcels to be reviewed		16,827	745	63	17,635
	County	Mineral		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

84,436

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

## ACTIVITY

				**************	********	
Final Report	Price per County	14,905				14,905
ent/Sales Study	Price per County	12,776				12,776
Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	3707				3707
ollection on of operties	Total		16,289	10,540	5,110	31,940
v of Data Co d Comparise . Unsold Pr	Price per Parcel		\$ 18.64	\$ 172.79	\$ 2,555.17	\$ 34.09
Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		874	61	2	937
rhood	Total	10,650	P			10,650
rf Neighborhood oundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 2,130.00	Verghborhod			\$ 2,130.00
Review B	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	5				5
f Land ion*	Price per County	138,410				138,410
Review o Valuat	Parcels to be reviewed		43,681	3,074	98	46,841
County	Monongalia		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
	Review of Data Collection Review of Land Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Assessment/Sales Valuation* Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio Study	Review of Land Review of Neighborhood And Comparison of And Comparison of And Comparison of Boundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio Study  Parcels hoods to hoods to be County be Hood Hoods hood hood hood hood hood hood hood	Review of Land       Review of Neighbor- Price per to be       County       Review of Data Collection       And Comparison of Assessment/Sales         Parcels to be       Price per County       Price per Lobe       P	Review of Land       Review of Data Collection       Review of Data Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       And Comparison of Study       Ratio Study         Parcels to be County       Price per Hoods to be County       Neighbor Hood       Total Hood       Price per Parcel Parcel       Total Parcel Parcel       Price per Parcel Parcel       Total Parcel Pa	Review of LandReview of LandReview of Data CollectionValuation*BoundariesAnd Comparison of LandAnd Comparison of Sold v. Unsold PropertiesAssessment/SalesParcels to be CountyPrice per LobePrice per Lobe	Review of Land         Review of Neighborhood         Review of Data Collection         Assessment/Sales           Parcels to be reviewed         Price per Lobe         Price per Lobe         Price per Lobe         Total Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Total Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Total Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Price per Lobe         Price per Lobe         Parcels         Price per Lobe         Price per Lobe

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

208,681

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

(*************************************	(despende	elificoviole (v.C)	Signey	(6.00)	-01(00) ADAMAN					===
		Final Report		Price per County	5,151				5,151	
	Assessment/Sales Ratio Study		Study	Price per County	4,415				4,415	
			אמווס	Sales to be reviewed	611				611	
	Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	pernes	Total		5,253	3,399	1,648	10,300		
<u> </u>	Compariso	Unsola Pro	Price per Parcel		\$ 18.76	\$ 485.57	\$ 824.00	\$ 35.64		
	Review	And	Sold V.	Parcels to be reviewed		280	7	2	289	
	orhood			Total	3,680	1			3,680	
	of Maintho	Review of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 306.67	Veighborhood			\$ 306.67		
	O C	Review		Neighbor- hoods to be	12	4			12	
	7	Review of Land Valuation*		Price per County	49,300				49,300	-
		Review of La		Parcels to be reviewed		14,019	369	98	14,486	
		County		Morgan		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals	
						_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

72,846

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

### ACTIVITY

Final Report	Price per County	9,380				9,380
		61				6
Assessment/Sales Ratio Study	Price per County	7,035				7,035
Assessn Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	885				885
llection on of operties	Total		7,772	5,029	2,438	15,240
Review of Data Collection And Comparison of Sold v. Unsold Properties	Price per Parcel		\$ 18.16	\$ 104.78	\$ 1,219.20	\$ 31.88
Review And Sold v.	Parcels to be reviewed		428	48	2	478
rhood	Total	8,210				8,210
r of Neighborhood Boundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 8,210.00	Neighberhood			\$ 8,210.00
Review B	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	Ψ.	ď			~
f Land ion*	Price per County	76,210				76,210
Review of Land Valuation*	Parcels to be reviewed		21,379	2,379	93	23,851
County	Ohio		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

116,075

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

port						
Final Report	Price per County	13,911				13,911
ent/Sales Study	Price per County	11,924				11,924
Assessm Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	1283				1283
ellection on of operties	Total		12,164	7,871	3,816	23,850
rhood	Price per Parcel		\$ 21.23	\$ 524.70	\$ 3,816.00	\$ 40.49
	Parcels to be reviewed		573	15	1	589
	Total	9,940	P			9,940
	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 2,485.00	Velghborhoo			\$ 2,485.00
Review	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed					4
f Land lon*	Price per County	119,240				119,240
Review o	Parcels to be reviewed		28,672	692	36	29,477
County	Preston		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
	Review of Land Valuation*	Review of Land Review of Neighborhood And Comparison of Assessme Soundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio Sold v. Unsold Pro	Review of Land       Review of Land       Review of Decision of Assessment of Assessment of Assessment of Parcels       Review of Neighbor-Price per to be County       Parcels       Price per to be County       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Parcels       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Total hood       Parcels       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Parcels       Parcels       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Parcels       Parcels       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Parcels       Parcels       Parcels       Parcels       Parcels       Perice per hoods to be reviewed       Parcels       Parcels	Review of Land       Review of Neighbor - Parcels       Parcels       Price per hoods to be county       Price per hood       Price per hood       Total hood       Price per hood       Total hood       Parcels       Price per hood       Total hood       Parcels       Price per hood       Price per hood       Price per hood       Parcels       Price per hood       Price per hood <th< td=""><td>Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection       Assessment of As</td><td>Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Data Collection         And Comparison of Assessmetation         Assessmetation           Parcels         Price per to be county         Neighbor be be wiewed         Price per hood         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Total to be hood         Parcels         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Par</td></th<>	Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection       Assessment of As	Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Land         Review of Data Collection         And Comparison of Assessmetation         Assessmetation           Parcels         Price per to be county         Neighbor be be wiewed         Price per hood         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Total to be hood         Parcels         Parcels         Price per hood         Total to be hood         Parcels         Par

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

178,866

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

					ACIIVIIY						
		7 7 7 7 7		of Notable	bood.	Review	Review of Data Collection	llection			
County	Review of Land	or Land	Keview	or Neignbornood	noon	And	And Comparison of	on of	Assessm	Assessment/Sales	Final Report
•	Valuation	TIOH.	0	boundaries		Sold v.	Sold v. Unsold Properties	operties	Ratio	Ratio Study	
	Parcels	Drive ner	Neighbor-	Price per		Parcels	Price ner		Sales to	Price ner	Price ner
70/26	to be	County	Si spooii	Neighbor	Total	to be	Parcel	Total	e Q	County	County
<u> </u>	reviewed	(Number of the Control of the Contro	reviewed	pooq		reviewed			reviewed		
		41,980	2	\$ 1,615.00	3,230	电极电池			354	3,875	3,875
Residential	11,362			Neighborhood		227	\$ 21.77	4,942			
Commercial	531					11	\$ 290.70	3,198			
Industrial	28					1	\$ 1,550.40	1,550			
Activity	44 004	44 080	c	6 4 646 00	3 220	230	40 54	9 690	354	3 875	3.875
Totals	11,36.1	002,14	7	00.010,1 \$	2,420	600	- 1 1	2006	100	2,12,5	

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

62,650

TAX09001

eport	<u>L</u>					
Final Report	Price per County	4,292				4,292
ent/Sales Study	Price per County	3,219				3,219
Assessm   Ratio	Sales to be reviewed	559				559
ollection on of operties	Total		4,106	2,657	1,288	8,050
rhood Review of Data Co	Price per Parcel		\$ 23.06	\$ 332.06	\$ 1,288.00	\$ 43.05
	Parcels to be reviewed		178	8	1	187
rhood	Total	3,760	q			3,760
of Neighbo oundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,880.00	oowoqubia			\$ 1,880.00
Review B	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	2				2
Land on*	Price per County	36,490				36,490
Review c Valuat	Parcels to be reviewed		8,922	411	22	9,355
County	Tucker		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
	County Review of Land Review of Neighborhood Assessment/Sales  County Valuation* Boundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio Study	Review of Land Review of Neighborhood And Comparison of Assessme Valuation* Boundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S to be County be County be hood  Review of Data Collection Assessme And Comparison of Assessme Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S Price per to be County be hood I reviewed hood	Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection       And Comparison of Ansessme Sold v. Unsold Properties       Assessme Ratio Sales to Parcels         Parcels       Price per to be       Price per be       Price per to be       Price per to be       Total be       Parcel       Total be       Percel reviewed       Total be       Parcel       Parcel <td>Review of Land       Review of Land       Review of One para Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be County       Price per Lobe       Neighbor Lotal       Total Lobe       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Total Lobe       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels</td> <td>Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Price per Lobe       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels&lt;</td> <td>Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be county       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Neighbor be hood       Total hood       Total to be reviewed       Price per hoods       Total to be hood       Parcels       Price per hoods       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         1 county       be       hoods       1 cointy       1 cointy       1 cointy       1 cointy       2 cointy       <td< td=""></td<></td>	Review of Land       Review of Land       Review of One para Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be County       Price per Lobe       Neighbor Lotal       Total Lobe       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Total Lobe       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels	Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Price per Lobe       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels<	Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Data Collection         Valuation*       Boundaries       Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         Parcels to be county       Price per hoods to be reviewed       Neighbor be hood       Total hood       Total to be reviewed       Price per hoods       Total to be hood       Parcels       Price per hoods       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties       Ratio Sold v. Unsold Properties         1 county       be       hoods       1 cointy       1 cointy       1 cointy       1 cointy       2 cointy <td< td=""></td<>

	ž
55,812	
CV.	
8	
10	
L G	
8	
3.15	
3.17	
4983	
37.25	
55,8	
944	
4.73	
and Total	
ايد	
2	
्य	
<b>***</b>	
(0)	
2 1	
Grand Total	

<sup>\*</sup> The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twenty-four month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

# CONTRACT APPRAISAL PRICING SUMMARY

VEN SETON

Final Report	Price per County	39				
	F 0	6,239				6,239
ent/Sales Study	Price per County	4,680				4,680
Assessm	Sales to be reviewed	232				232
ollection on of operties	Total		5,967	3,861	1,872	11,700
/ of Data C   Comparis Unsold Pr	Price per Parcel		\$ 22.18	\$ 275.79	\$ 1,872.00	\$ 41.20
Revier An Sold v	Parcels to be reviewed		269	41	1	284
rhood	Total	4,680	-			4,680
of Neighbo oundaries	Price per Neighbor hood	\$ 1,170.00	leighborhood			\$ 1,170.00
Review	Neighbor- hoods to be reviewed	4	ď.			4
ıf Land ion*	Price per County	51,470				51,470
Review c Valuat	Parcels to be reviewed		13,450	694	14	14,158
County	Wetzel		Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Activity Totals
	Review of Land Review of Neighborhood And Comparison of Assertion*  Sold v. Unsold Properties	Review of Land Review of Neighborhood And Comparison of Assessme Boundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S to be County be County reviewed Review of Data Collection Assessme And Comparison of Assessme Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S Sales to be Parcels hoods to hood hood reviewed revie	Review of Land Valuation* Boundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S Parcels Parcels Poundaries Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S Ratio S County be hoods to be hoods to be reviewed  Total Parcels Parcels Former And Comparison of Assessme Sold v. Unsold Properties Ratio S Sales to be hoods to hoods to hood hood  Total Assessme Sales to be hoods to hood hood Aution Assessme Sales to be hood hood hood hood hood hood hood hoo	Review of Land       Review of Neighborhood       Review of Land       Review of Neighbor Parcels       Review of Data Collection       And Comparison of Assessme Sold v. Unsold Properties       Retio Seasone         Parcels to be reviewed       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Price per Lobe       Parcels       Parcels	Review of LandReview of LandReview of LandValuation*BoundariesSolundariesSold v. Unsold PropertiesRatio Sales to Ratio Sales to Ratio Sales to Ratio Sales to Ratio Sales to ParcelsParcelsPrice per LobePrice per CountyNeighbor LobeTotal LobeParcel ParcelsPrice per ParcelsTotal LobeParcel ParcelReviewed13,4504\$ 1,170.004,680\$ 22.18\$ 5,96713,450A Station Sales\$ 22.18\$ 22.18\$ 2,667	Review of LandReview of NeighborhoodReview of NeighborhoodValuation*BoundariesSold v. Unsold PropertiesAssessme Sold v. Unsold PropertiesParcels to be countyPrice per hoods to be reviewedPrice per hoods to be hoodTotal to be hoodParcelsPrice per hoods to be hoodTotal to be hoodParcelTotal to be hoodParcel13,4504\$ 1,170.004,680\$ 22.18\$ 5,96713,45069414\$ 275.793,86114\$ 1,8721,872

\* The Tax Department does not anticipate that each individual parcel in the county will be reviewed; rather, this will involve a review of the accuracy of land tables in the county. This shall be accomplished by the Contractor reviewing all sales which have occurred in a county for a minimum of 12 months prior to the July 1 assessment date for the respective tax year. If a valid sale does not exist in any neighborhood during the twelve month period, the Contractor may use sales which occurred over the previous twentyfour month period prior to the July 1 assessment date.

78,769

#### **Contractor Profile and Qualifications**

#### Mission Statement

#### We believe...

We owe our customers reliability, integrity, and security. We owe them peace of mind in knowing they have trained, professional people working for them. If there is a problem, we will correct it without question. If there is a disagreement, we will be there to work it out and make the best possible contribution to the continued success of our clients.

We must be creditable with our customers. They must be able to rely on our word and feel secure in the knowledge that what we say is exactly what we mean, and should there be any disagreement, we will continue to work until the client is satisfied.

We owe our customers honesty. We owe them the best efforts each day of every person in this organization. We owe our customers the security of knowing that, whenever one of our people touches a property record card, it will not be put down until every step is taken to ensure the work is done correctly, fairly, and honestly.

We owe our customers full value for every dollar spent.

#### **Corporate History**

Appraisal Research Corporation incorporated in February 1977 with the belief that there is a place in the market for an appraisal firm that provides *quality service at a reasonable price*. ARC is an innovative firm, committed to serving not only the mass appraisal function, but also the assessment function by offering a wide variety of products and services that improve the quality of work delivered to the end consumer—the taxpayer. One hundred percent of our business is provided in the public sector.

Appraisal Research Corporation originally operated within close proximity of its headquarters at 101 East Sandusky St, in Findlay, Ohio. However, after just a short time, its development expanded from Ohio into Michigan and later into Indiana, Iowa, Georgia, and other areas. Currently ARC has 150 employees throughout Ohio and Indiana.

From its inception, ARC has provided revaluation, new construction, and triennial update services. As it grew, it began to provide computer assistance and consulting. Later, a total package of computer systems to assist the County Auditor, County Treasurer, and County Government was developed.

In 1999 Appraisal Research Corporation introduced ARCama, its Computer-Assisted Mass Appraisal (CAMA) system for agricultural, residential, industrial, commercial and exempt parcels. In 2004 the company introduced ARCtax, a state-of-the-art Tax Accounting system. And in 2006 the company earned certified status in Microsoft Corporation's Partner Program, recognizing ARC's expertise and total impact in the technology marketplace. As a Gold Certified Partner, ARC has demonstrated expertise with Microsoft technologies and proven ability to meet customer needs.

Appraisal Research Corporation is committed to professional excellence. It has the largest group of professionally designated and certified appraisers of any organization operating in the mass appraisal field today. This ensures that its clients have qualified, educated and trained assessment staff working for them.

Members of Appraisal Research Corporation also serve on committees of the American Society of Appraisers, the International Association of Assessing Officers, the Appraisal Institute, and the National Association of Mass Appraisers. In addition, owner and CEO, Richard (Dick) Hoffman, holds professional designations as an ASA, a MAI, a CAE and a CRE. He is very active within the appraisal industry, serving on numerous national and international committees.

Appraisal Research Corporation has committed itself to the concept of quality service at a reasonable price, as well as providing more effective service to the assessment function. This commitment to the total concerns of our clients has carried ARC to the present day, and that commitment will carry us into the future.

Appraisal Research Corporation enjoys strong financial stability with formidable resources on deposit and a substantial line of credit. ARC refers the Auditor to Jeffrey Shrader, Vice-President of Commercial Relationships at Fifth Third Bank in Findlay, Ohio for further financial information.

#### Prior Experience

Appraisal Research Corporation has been actively involved in the valuation of real property for more than 30 years. In addition, the company has utilized almost every Computer-Assisted Mass Appraisal (CAMA) system in existence. We are intimately familiar with the good points and bad points of each of the major systems in existence today. ARC determined a need in the market for a Windows-based system designed from a point of view of the Deputy Auditor and Deputy Treasurer. ARC's system has maximum functionality for the people who must use it on a day-to-day basis, as well as management oversight for the elected officials who are responsible for each of these offices. We first developed our CAMA system in 1997 with Windows-based update in 2001. In 2004 we added our Tax Accounting system to accompany and complement CAMA.

Two of the principle people within ARC are the original designers of CAMA. One person developed the second-known CAMA system in the United States and the other developed the third-known system. Both individuals continue to be actively involved with development and improvement of systems to serve local government.

ARC business is concentrated in the State of Ohio; however we also have installations in the State of Indiana. We maintain a substantial staff of professional data processing personnel who have been trained in appraisal and tax collection techniques and knowledge. We have individuals who can provide the software, and support the County Auditor and County Treasurer in the installation, conversion, and operation of the system.

#### Microsoft® Gold Certified Partner

Our goal throughout our 31-year history has been to provide assessing officials with the best and latest in both appraisal services and software technology. We are very pleased and proud to have earned Microsoft's highest certification—that of a Gold Partner. It means that in serving our clients we employ the most up-to-date technologies available to meet, and exceed, their expectations.

Working in partnership with Microsoft ensures that each ARC client benefits from the training Microsoft provides to its Partners. Training includes using hands-on labs, classroom and online classes, virtual environments, and internal software so ARC can create working environments similar to those of its clients to help replicate problem areas. Access to the latest Microsoft software, coupled with these training opportunities, ensures ARC can provide our customers with the best software solutions.

As a *Microsoft*® *Gold Certified Partner*, Appraisal Research Corporation proudly licenses state-of-the-art Windows-based software. ARCama and ARCtax comply with the Ohio Revised Code and provide the reports necessary to meet DTE requirements. Data can be printed, electronically transferred, or exported to other media for reporting to the State.

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Champaign County	CAUV	2006
Clark County	Abatement	2004-2007
•	Digital Photo	2007
	New Construction (ICE)	2002-2005
	Revaluation	2007
	Technical Services	2002-2003
	Triennial	2004
Coshocton County	Revaluation	2009
Crawford County	New Construction Technical Service	

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Defiance County	ARCama2	004-Contining
•	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002
Delaware County	CAUV	2004, 2006
Erie County	Manufactured Home	2002-2006
	Mapping Consultant	2002-2002
	New Construction	2002-2007
	Revaluation	2006
	Sales Verification	2002-2011
	Technical Service	2008-2010
	Triennial	2003, 2009
Fairfield County	New Construction	2002-2007
•	Revaluation	2007
	Technical Services	2002-2004
Fulton County	Digital Photo	2008
·	Manufactured Home	2006-2011
	New Construction	2005-20010
	Professional Services	2004
	Revaluation	2008
	Triennial	2005
Hancock County	ARCama20	02-Continuing
•	ARCtax200	06-Continuing
	Manufactured Home	2003-2008
	New Construction	2002-2009
	Revaluation	2004, 2010
	Triennial	2007

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Hardin County	Manufactured Home	2002-2008
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002
Henry County	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002, 2008
Hocking County	Manufactured Home	2002-2011
	New Construction	2002-2010
	Revaluation	2004, 2010
	Triennial	2007
Holmes County	CAUV	2004
·	Manufactured Home	2002-2007
	New Construction	2002-2006
	Revaluation	2004
Huron County	ARCama	2002-Contining
	New Construction	2002-2006
	New Construction (ICE only)	2008-2010
	Revaluation	2006
	Triennial	2003
Jackson County	New Construction	2002-2003
	Triennial	2002
Lawrence County	Manufactured Home	2003-2011
	New Construction	2005-2011
	Revaluation	2004, 2010
	Triennial	2007
Licking County	Revaluation (ICE)	2005

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Madison County	New Construction	2003-2006
Marion County	Data Entry	2007
·	New Construction	
	Revaluation	2007
	Triennial	2004
Meigs County	ARCama200	4-Continuing
	ARCtax200	7-Continuing
	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2004, 2010
	Triennial	2007
Mercer County	ARCama200	6-Continuing
	ARCtax200	7-Continuing
	CAUV Inspection	2007
	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002, 2008
Ottawa County	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2009
	Revaluation	2006
	Triennial	2003
Pike County	Digital Photo	
	Manufactured Home	
	New Construction	
	Revaluation	
	Technical Services	
	Triennial	2008

State of West Virginia ARC #08-523

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Preble County	ARCama	2005-Continuing
	ARCtax	2005-Continuing
	New Construction	2003-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2008
Putnam County	CAUV	2002
	Manufactured Home	2002-2011
	New Construction	2002-2011
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002, 2008
Ross County	Manufactured Home	2002-2010
	New Construction	2002-2010
	Revaluation	2007
	Triennial	2004
Scioto County	Manufactured Home	2008
•	New Construction	2006-2007
Seneca County	Manufactured Home	2003-2004
-	New Construction	2002-2003
	Triennial	2002
Shelby County	Manufactured Home	2002-2011
	New Construction	2002-2008
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002, 2008
Union County	CAUV	2005
Oldon County	Digital Photo	2007
	Manufactured Home	
	New Construction	2002-2009
	Revaluation	2007
	Triennial	

County	Services (Since 2002)	Years
Van Wert County	Manufactured Home	2002-2009
	New Construction	2002-2010
	Revaluation	2005
	Triennial	2002, 2008
Vinton County	New Construction	2007-2009
·	Revaluation	2003, 2009
Williams County	Digital Photo	2006
	Manufactured Home	
	New Construction	2002-2009
	Revaluation	2006
	Technical Services	2002-2010
	Triennial	2003
Wyandot County	Data Entry	2007
	Manufactured Home	2002-2012
	New Construction	2002-2010
	Revaluation	2007
	Technical Services	2002
	Triennial	2004

### Indiana Client List

County	Service (Since 2002)	Years
Adams County	New Construction	2003-2007
	Technical Services	2003
	Trending	2006, 2007
Blackford County	ARCama	2004 on-going
	New Construction	2005-2007
	Technical Services	2003
	Trending	2005-2007

## Indiana Client List

County	Service (Since 2002)	Years
Boone County	New Construction	2004-2007
	Technical Services	2004
	Trending	2005-2007
Clay County	Trending	2006-2007
Dearborn County	New Construction	2007-2008
Decatur County	New Construction	2005-2006
Fayette County	New Construction	2005-2007
	Technical Services	2003
	Trending	2004-2007
Fountain County	Real Estate Data Collection	2002
	Sales Verification	2002-2007
Franklin County	New Construction	2005
Fulton County	ARCama	2004-2007
	New Construction	2007-2010
	Technical Services	2002
	Trending	2004-2007
Jay County	Trending	2008
Johnson County	Technical Services	2006
LaGrange County	New Construction	2002-2007
,	Technical Service	2002-2006
	Trending	
Marion County	Professional Services	2003
Ohio County	Trending	2004-2007

## Indiana Client List

County	Service (Since 2002)	Years
Parke County	ARCama	2006-2007
·	New Construction	2004-2007
	Trending	2005-2007
Posey County	ARCama	2004-2007
	Cyclical Review	2007-2010
Randolph County	New Construction	2002
Ripley County	New Construction	2005-2007
	Trending	2004-2007
Switzerland County	Cyclical Review	2007-2010
·	New Construction	2005-2007
	Trending	2004-2007
Tippecanoe County	New Construction	2002-2007
	Trending	2005-2007
Union County	Land Valuation	2002
	Trending	2005-2007
Vanderburgh County	Trending	2005-2006
Vermillion County	Technical Services	2003
·	Trending	2005-2006
Washington County	Trending	2005-2007

## Michigan Client List

County	Service (Since 2002)	Years
Grand Rapids Corporation	Reassessment	2007

#### Richard H. Hoffman

Certifications State of Ohio, Certified General Appraiser State of Indiana, Certified General Appraiser ICA Iowa Certified Assessor MCA3 Michigan Certified Assessor - Level III ICA2 Indiana Certified Assessor - Level II **Awards** 1988 Harry Galkin Award Awarded for outstanding service to the assessment profession 1994 Verne W. Pottorrff Award Awarded for the promotion of professionalism in appraisal and assessment 1996 Clifford Allen Award Awarded for outstanding long-term contribution to the profession 2001 Donehoo Essay Award Awarded for being the best contribution to the body of knowledge of appraisal and assessment practices for 2000 2001 Presidential Citation Awarded by International Association of Assessing Officers for Outstanding Service as Chair of the Research and Technology Committee Designations ASA Senior Member, American Society of Appraisers CAE Certified Assessor Evaluator, International Association of Assessing Officers, Number 590 MAI Member Appraisal Institute, Number 11257 CRE Counselors of Real Estate, Number 3389 **Appointments** Former Member, Ohio Department of Commerce, Ohio Real Estate Appraisal Board, (6-year term, 1 year as Chairman) Articles Published Preparation for the Revaluation: A Prescription for Success Assessment Digest, International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO), 1993 Proceedings, IAAO 59th Annual Conference Assessment Administration After the Contract is Signed—Is the Honeymoon Really Over? Proceedings, IAAO's 57th Annual Conference Assessment

Administration

Property Assessment State of West Virginia ARC #08-523 First Person: It's Time for a Change

Assessment Journal, International Association of Assessing Officers, 1997

The Michigan Assessor, 1997

Tax Appeal - An Appraiser's View

Proceedings, International Association of Assessing Officers, 1998

Appraisal for Dummies

Proceedings, International Association of Assessing Officers, 1998

**Developing Reassessment Specifications** 

Assessment Journal, International Association of Assessing Officers, 2001

**Preparing for Expert Testimony** 

Appraisal Journal, 2002 Fair & Equitable, 2003

Education-Academic BS Bachelor of Science - Bowling Green State University

MBA Master of Business Administration - Ohio State University

Education

**Appraisal Courses** 

American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers

**Basic Valuation Procedures** 

Capitalization Theory and Techniques - Part A
Capitalization Theory and Techniques - Part B

Case Studies in Real Estate Valuation Standards of Professional Practice - Part A Standards of Professional Practice - Part B

Society of Real Estate Appraisers

Applied Income Property Valuation
Applied Residential Property Valuation
Introduction to Appraising Real Property
Principles of Income Property Appraising

International Association of Assessing Officers

Course I - Fundamentals of Real Property Appraisal

Course II - Income Approach to Valuation

Course III - Development and Writing of Narrative Appraisal Reports

Introduction to the Cost Approach to Value Introduction to the Market Approach to Value Introduction to the Income Approach to Value

Mass Appraisal of Residential Property

Site Analysis and Evaluation

Membership

American Society of Appraisers

County Auditors' Association of Ohio Indiana Assessors Association International Association of Assessing Officers Iowa Institute of Certified Assessors Michigan Assessors Association National Association of Mass Appraisers The Appraisal Institute USPAP Issues Resource Panel

#### Recertification

<u>The Appraisal Institute</u> conducts a recertification program that requires each designated member to continue his or her professional education on a five-year cycle. My certification is current.

The International Association of Assessing Officers conducts a professional recertification program requiring its members to obtain additional and continuing education to grow in the profession. My certification is current.

<u>The American Society of Appraisers</u> conducts an annual recertification program requiring individuals to obtain additional professional education. My certification is current.

#### Appraisal Experience

Actively engaged in real estate appraisal since 1967....appraiser of agricultural, residential, commercial and industrial real estate....supervisor of staff appraisers and review appraisers for large commercial and industrial projects....instructor in appraisal techniques....served as a Township Assessor....prepared and presented testimony regarding value before for various courts, boards and tribunals....extensive experience in the preparation and analysis of sales ratio and assessment sales ratio studies....developed systems and procedures, as well as standards for the valuation of sales data as both an analysis and a quality control tool for use in evaluating revaluation programs and levels of assessment.... served as project manager for the development of computer-based appraisal system for the valuation of residential, agricultural and commercial parcels of property using all three approaches to value....served as a member of the International Appraisal Standards Committee of the North American Conference of Appraisal Organizations....served as a member of the Standards Committee of the International Association of Assessing Officers as well as Publications Review Board Committee, Subscribing Member Committee (1 year as Chair), the Professional Admissions Committee, the Research and Technology Committee (4 years), Chairman of the Technical Assistance Committee, Chairman of the Education Committee (2 years), Chairman of the Outreach Committee, currently serving as Chair of the Research and Technology Committee, and Professional Designation Advisor for Indiana and Ohio....served as a member of the Liaison with Government Agencies Committee of the American Society of Appraisers and President of the Northwest Ohio Chapter of the Appraisal Institute.

#### Presentation/ Instruction

Frequent presenter at: IAAO Annual conferences and seminars, University of Michigan Extension Service, Buckeye Association of School Administrators, and other organizations throughout the State of Ohio. Instructor in various valuation subjects such as the Cost Approach to Value, Depreciation Analysis, and the Valuation of Environmentally Impacted Properties.

#### Courses Taught/ Developed

Advanced School Finance
Depreciation - Determination and Application
Modular, Manufactured, and Pre-Cut Homes
The Board of Revision - The Appeal Process
Valuation of Environmentally Impacted Properties
Valuation of Large Industrial Complexes
Valuation of Small Industrial Complexes
The Cost Approach to Value



### STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA

Department of Revenue State Tax Department

Joe Manchin Governor Christopher G. Morris State Tax Commissioner

# EMPLOYEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CONFIDENTIAL TAX INFORMATION

NAME DIVISION Reviewed and Explained by:	Richard H. Hoffman, ASA, CAE, MAI, CRE Appraisal Research Corporation
	hat information concerning any taxpayer that may come to my knowledge the WV Department of Revenue is to be held in strictest confidence.
application, audit, inv	that no information or particular set forth on any return, declaration, estigation, or report filed by or for the taxpayer or concerning a taxpayer se to any person except as provided by law.
information is punis	that under State law any unauthorized disclosure of confidential shable by imprisonment for a period up to one year and/or a fine up with the cost of prosecution.
or record received fro	nat the unauthorized disclosure of any information from a Federal tax return m the Internal Revenue Service is a felony offense punishable by eriod up to five years and/or a fine up to \$5,000.
statement will result Revenue.  EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE State of West Virginia County of Kanawha	
<u>erugus</u>	bed, acknowledged, and sworn before me thisday of,20,208,
My commission expire	October 25, 2011  Lie Cobert  Notary Public

## STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA Purchasing Division

### **PURCHASING AFFIDAVIT**

West Virginia Code §5A-3-10a states: No contract or renewal of any contract may be awarded by the state or any of its political subdivisions to any vendor or prospective vendor when the vendor or prospective vendor or a related party to the vendor or prospective vendor is a debtor and the debt owed is an amount greater than one thousand dollars in the aggregate

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

"Debt" means any assessment, premium, penalty, fine, tax or other amount of money owed to the state or any of its political subdivisions because of a judgment, fine, permit violation, license assessment, defaulted workers' compensation premium, penalty or other assessment presently delinquent or due and required to be paid to the state or any of its political subdivisions, including any interest or additional penalties accrued thereon.

"Debtor" means any individual, corporation, partnership, association, limited liability company or any other form or business association owing a debt to the state or any of its political subdivisions. "Political subdivision" means any county commission; municipality; county board of education; any instrumentality established by a county or municipality; any separate corporation or instrumentality established by one or more counties or municipalities, as permitted by law; or any public body charged by law with the performance of a government function or whose jurisdiction is coextensive with one or more counties or municipalities. "Related party" means a party, whether an individual, corporation, partnership, association, limited liability company or any other form or business association or other entity whatsoever, related to any vendor by blood, marriage, ownership or contract through which the party has a relationship of ownership or other interest with the vendor so that the party will actually or by effect receive or control a portion of the benefit, profit or other consideration from performance of a vendor contract with the party receiving an amount that meets or exceed five percent of the total contract amount.

EXCEPTION: The prohibition of this section does not apply where a vendor has contested any tax administered pursuant to chapter eleven of this code, workers' compensation premium, permit fee or environmental fee or assessment and the matter has not become final or where the vendor has entered into a payment plan or agreement and the vendor is not in default of any of the provisions of such plan or agreement.

LICENSING: Vendors must be licensed and in good standing in accordance with any and all state and local laws and requirements by any state or local agency of West Virginia, including, but not limited to, the West Virginia Secretary of State's Office, the West Virginia Tax Department, West Virginia Insurance Commission, or any other state agencies or political subdivision. Furthermore, the vendor must provide all necessary releases to obtain information to enable the Director or spending unit to verify that the vendor is licensed and in good standing with the above entities.

CONFIDENTIALITY: The vendor agrees that he or she will not disclose to anyone, directly or indirectly, any such personally identifiable information or other confidential information gained from the agency, unless the individual who is the subject of the information consents to the disclosure in writing or the disclosure is made pursuant to the agency's policies, procedures and rules. Vendors should visit www.state.wv.us/admin/ purchase/privacy for the Notice of Agency Confidentiality Policies.

Under penalty of law for false swearing (West Virginia Code, §61-5-3), it is hereby certified that the vendor acknowledges the information in this said affidavit and are in compliance with the requirements as stated.

Date: 8/20/08

Vendor's Name: Richard H. Hoffman, ASA, CAE, MAI, CRE, Appraisal Research Corporation

**Authorized Signature** 

Purchasing Affidavit (Revised 06/15/07)



State of West Virginia
Department of Administration
Purchasing Division
2019 Washington Street East
Post Office Box 50130
Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for RON TAX

TAX09001

PAGE:

SHELLY MURRAY

804-558-8801

V E N D O R

TITLE

PFO COPY
TY
Appraisal Research Corp
PO Box 1002
Findlay, OH 45839

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
GREENBROKE BUILDING
1124 SMITH STREET
CHARLESTON, WV
25301 304-558-3940

 DATE PRINTED
 TERMS OF SALE
 SHIP VIA
 F.O.B.
 FREIGHT TERMS

 06/25/2008
 BTD OPENING TIME 01:30PM

BID OPENING DATE:	07/24/2008	BID	PENING TIME 01:	30PM
LINE	QUANTITY LIOP CA	T ITEM NUMBER	UNITPRICE	TRUOMA
		DDENDUM NO. 1		
	THIS ADDENDUM IS ISS	UED TO EXTEND THE	BID OPENING DATE	
The state of the s	FROM: 7/03/2008 TO: 7/24/2008			
	RESPONSES TO QUESTIC MANDATORY PRE-BID COTO THE QUESTION SUBMBE ADDRESSED IN A FU	NFERENCE OF 6/11/2 NISSION DEADLINE OF	008 AND PRIOR	
	ATTACHMENT: PRE-BID	SIGN IN SHEET		
0001	LS 1	946-15		
	APPRAISAL SERVICES,	REAL ESTATE		
	EXHIBIT 10			
		REQUISITION	NO.: TAX09001	
	ADDENDUM ACKNOWLEDGI	EMENT		
	I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGI ADDENDUM(S) AND HAVI PROPOSAL, PLANS AND	E MADE THE NECESSAR	Y REVISIONS TO MY	
	ADDENDUM NO. S:			
SIGNATURE	\$	EE REVERSE SIDE FOR TERMS AND CO TELEPHONE	ONDITIONS DATE	
OIGHM1 ONE				

ADDRESS CHANGES TO BE NOTED ABOVE

FEIN



>#ZOOR

RFQ COPY

TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE

State of West Virginia
Department of Administration
Purchasing Division
2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130 Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for Quotation

TAX09001

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF SHELLY MURRAY

304-558-8801

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV

25301 304-558-3940

DATE PRINT		TERN	IS OF SALE		SHIP VIA		FO.B.		FREIGHT TERMS
06/25/2 BID OPENING DATE:		07/24/2	2008	į		7 BID C	OPENING TIME	01	:30PM
LINE	QUAN		UOP	CAT	ITEM NUME		UNIT PRICE	<u> </u>	AMOUNT
LINE	GUAN		- OUT	NO.	THE IN TACABLE				*
	NO. 1	X			· · ·		-	. •••	*
	·								
	NO. 2								
	NO. 3								
	NO. 4								
	NO. 5								
					URE TO CON SE FOR REJ		HE RECEIPT O	F THE	
	REPRES ORAL D AND AN INFORM	ENTATI ISCUSS Y STAT ATION	ON MA ION H E PER ISSUE	DE OR ELD B SONNE D IN	ETWEEN VEN L IS NOT B WRITING AN	O BE M DOR'S INDING D ADDE	ADE DURING A REPRESENTATI ONLY THE	VES	
					.a.	y.l.y.	MOUMON GNATURE I RESEGIC MPANY , 2009	d	
					A.P.	praisc	I Researc	h.Co	AP-
					<b>)</b> ()	ne 39	, 2009 TE		
To the state of th	REV. 1	.1/96							
				END O	ADDENDUM	1 NO. 1			-
200000000000000000000000000000000000000			<u> </u>	SEE RE	  VERSE SIDE FOR TE	RMS AND CO	L INDITIONS		
SIGNATURE			<u>waanadahadah</u>			TELEPHONE		DATE	
TITLE		FI	EIN				ADDRESS	CHANGE	S TO BE NOTED ABOVE

ADDRESS CHANGES TO BE NOTED ABOVE



\*EXOOR

TITLE

State of West Virginia Request for Department of Administration Quotation Purchasing Division 2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130 Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for TAX09001

FBS-L

RFQ COPY TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE SHELLY MURRAY
304-558-8801

SH-F

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
GREENBROKE BUILDING
1124 SMITH STREET
CHARLESTON, WV
25301 304-558-3940

DATE PRINT 07/23/	2008	MS OF SALE	SHIP VI		E O B	FREIGHTTERMS
BID OPENING DATE:	08/22/ QUANTITY	2008 uoe cat No	TEM:NUM	19918080808080	OPENING TIME UNITABLE	01:30 <u>PM</u> AMOUNT
L DECE		NO.				
		ADI	ENDUM NO.	2		
	THIS ADDEND	M IS ISSUE	D TO EXTE	THE	BID OPENING DA	TE
	FROM: 7/24/2 TO : 8/22/2			;		-
		E-BIL CONF	ERENCE OF SION DEAD	6/11/2 LINE OF	DURING THE 008 AND PRIOR 6/13/2008 WIL	ī.
0001		LS	946-15			·
	APPRAISAL S	RVICES, RI	AL ESTATE			
	EXHIBIT 10		A CANADA LA			
			REQUI	SITION	NO.: TAX09001	
	ADDENDUM AC	KNOWLEDGEMI	NT 6			
		AND HAVE I	ADE THE N	EÇESSAF	LLOWING CHECKE Y REVISIONS TO ETC.	
	ADDENDUM NO	. s:				
		SEE RI	VERSE SIDE FOR T			
SIGNATURE				TELEPHONE	ĮŢ	DATE

FÉIN



ADC:SM4

RFQ COPY

TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE

State of West Virginia Department of Administration Purchasing Division 2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130 Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Quotation

TAX09001

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF SHELLY MURRAY 304-558-8801

T O

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV

25301

304-558-3940

is and										
DATE PRIN 07/23/		TER	MS OF SAL		SHPV	4		FOB.		FREIGHTTERMS
BID OPENING DATE:		08/22/	024004040404		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	BID	OPEN	ING TIME	ol	:30PM
LINE	QLJA	NTTTY	UQP	CAT. NO	TEMNUN	BER		UNIT PRICE		AMOUNT
	NO. I									
	NO. 2	X	• •					•		
	ио. з									
	NO. 4		- •							
	NO. 5		<b>-</b> -							
					URE TO CO SE FOR RE				F THE	
	REPRES ORAL I AND AN INFORM	SENTATI DISCUSS NY STAT MATION	ON MA ION H E PER ISSUE	DE OR ELD E SONNE D IN	DERSTAND ASSUMED ETWEEN VE L IS NOT WRITING A FFICIAL A	TO BE M NDOR'S BINDING ND ADDE	ADE REPR . O D TO	DURING A ESENTATI NLY THE THE	VES	
					.Cv	Y. 4,00	MAT GNAT	ymax ure	d	
					A.	co Sblois	Ol	ignar Vesearc	Ϋ́ Сю	(P-
						July ?	44,			
and a second sec	de la companya de la					DA	TE			,
	REV.	11/96								
				END C	F ADDEMDU	M NO. 2				
				SEERE	VERSE SIDE FOR T	Andreas de la companya de la company	MOITION	3		
SIGNATURE						TELEPHONE		(1)	DATE	
TITLE	ma mm/r a r / r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	F	EIN					ADDRESS (	CHANGES	TO BE NOTED ABOVE



MODGE

State of West Virginia Department of Administration Quotation Purchasing Division 2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130 Charleston, WV 25305-0130

1,1,,1,1,1,1,1,,11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1

Appraisal Research Corp

Eric Ebert

PO Box 1002

Findlay, OH 45839

# Request for REQUIRED REQUIRED

TAX09001

SHELLY MURRAY 304-558-8801

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF

07/24	MASSELLAN SANKTAN	<u> </u>	RMS OF SA	<u> </u>	***************************************	SHIP	VIA.				).B		FREIGHTAE
PENING DATE		08/22/	2008				В	ID	OPEN	ING	TIME	0	1:30PM
LINE	QUA	NTITY	UOP	CAT. NO		ITEM NU	MBER			ÜNIT	PRICE		AMOUA
				- ADD	ENDU	м ио.	. 3 -						_
	THIS A	ADDENDU	M IS	ISSUE	р то	ADDF	RESS	QUE	STIO	NS/C	ONCE	RNS	
	RAISEI	DURIN	G THE	MAND	ATOR	Y PRE	-BID	CO	NFER	ENCE	OF		
	6/11/2	2008 AN	D PRI	OR TO	THE	QUES	NOIT	l SU	BMIS	SION	DEA	DLIN	E
	OF 6/1	13/2008	₃ .										,
	ATTACE	HMENT:	QUEST	IONS/	RESP	ONSES	•						
		**********	{ *	SUED	1			IS					
			1	HMENT					TY S	TATE	MENT		
	1		1	HMENT	ŧ .	AND F			1				
			1	HMENT	]	V ASS			!			TY0	7
			{		- ''				1,111				
	THERE	WILL E	E AN	ADDIT	IONA	L NON	N-MAN	IDAT	ORY	PRE-	BID		
	CONFE	RENCE (	N 8/7	/2008	AT	10:00	) AM	ΑT	TAX	PAYE	R		
	SERVIC	CES LOC	ATED	AT 12	nz n	HADDI	TED C	TDE	ler:	CHAD	IFCT	ON.	1

WV. THIS MEETING WILL BE OPEN ONLY TO THOSE PARTICIPANTS OF THE MANDATORY PRE-BID CONFERENCE OF 6/11/2008. ADDITIONAL INQUIRES: ADDITIONAL WRITTEN QUESTIONS SHALL BE ACCEPTED THROUGH CLOSE OF BUSINESS ON 8/7/2008. QUESTIONS MAY BE SENT VIA USPS, FAX, COURIER, OR EMAIL. IN ORDER TO ASSURE NO VENDOR RECEIVES AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE, NO SUBSTANTIVE QUESTIONS WILL BE ANSWERED ORALLY. IF POSSIBLE, EMAIL

QUESTIONS ARE PREFERRED. ADDRESS INQUIRES TO:

SHELLY MURRAY DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION PURCHASING DIVISION 2019 WASHINGTON STREET, EAST CHARLESTON, WV 25311

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS

SIGNATURE

TELEPHONE

DATE

TITLE

FEIN

ADDRESS CHANGES TO BE NOTED ABOVE



State-of-West-Virginia
Department of Administration
Purchasing Division
2019 Washington Street East
Post Office Box 50130
Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for Quotation

TAX09001

SHELLY MURRAY 304-558-8801

moosu<

RFQ COPY TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV 304-558-3940 25301

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF

07/24/					7004
BID OPENING DATE:	08/22/		BID		L:30PM
LINE	QUANTITY	UOP CAT.	ITEM NUMBER	UNITPRICE	AMOUNT
		FAX: 304	-558-4115 {ELLY.L.MURRAY@\	iv cov	
		EMAIL: S	1ELLT.L.NORRATE	NV - 60 V	
	THE BID OPE	ING DATE W	ILL REMAIN: 8/2	2/2008	
					·
		Ls	946-15		
0001	1	LS	940-1J		
	APPRAISAL SI	ERVICES, RE	AL ESTATE		
	EXHIBIT 10		•		77,
			REQUISITION	NO.: TAX09001	
	ADDENDUM ACI	KNOWLEDGEME	NT		
	I HEREBY AC	KNOWLEDGE R	ECEIPT OF THE F	OLLOWING CHECKED	
	ADDENDUM(S)	AND HAVE M	ADE THE NECESSA	RY REVISIONS TO M	<b>Y</b>
	PROPOSAL, P	LANS AND/UR	SPECIFICATION,	EIC.	
	ADDENDUM NO	.'s:		•	
	NO. 1				
	10. 1	• • •			
	NO. 2	• • •			
	NO. 3 . X				
	NO. 4	• • •			
	NO. 5				
	T UNDEDCTAN	D THAT EAT!	HPE TO CONEIDM	THE RECEIPT OF TH	E
	ADDENDUM(S)	MAY BE CAU	SE FOR REJECTIO	N OF BIDS.	
SIGNATURE		SEERE	VERSE SIDE FOR TERMS AND C TELEPHONE	ONDITIONS DATE	
TITLE		FEIN		ADDRESS CHANGE	S TO BE NOTED ABOVE
				CINICOACE ADOVE LADEL	



⊅00Zm<

State-of-West-Virginia
Department of Administration
Purchasing Division
2019 Washington Street East
Post Office Box 50130
Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Reque	st for
Quota	

RFONUMBER TAX09001

PAGE

ADDRESS:CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF SHELLY MURRAY

304-558-8801

RFQ COPY TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE
PROPERTY TAX DIVISION
GREENBROKE BUILDING
1124 SMITH STREET
CHARLESTON, WV
25301 304-558-3940

DATE PRINTED TEI	RMS OF SALE SHIP V	ria	FOB	FREIGHT TERMS
07/24/2008				
BID OPENING DATE: 08/22/		BID OPE	NING TIME 01	:30PM
REPRESENTATI	CLEARLY UNDERSTAND ON MADE OR ASSUMED SION HELD BETWEEN VE	THAT ANY N TO BE MADE	E DURING ANY PRESENTATIVES	AMOUNT
INFORMATION	TE PERSONNEL IS NOT ISSUED IN WRITING A	ADDENDUM IS	TO THE S BINDING.	
		SIGN	normand. Ature	C
	A	COMP.	al Research	( OYP -
		.07.130.1 DATE	.08	
REV. 11/96				
	END OF ADDEND	JM NO. 3 -		•
***** THI	S IS THE END OF RFQ	TAX0900	1 ***** TOTAL	
	SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR	TERMS AND CONDIT	ions	
SIGNATURE		TELEPHONE	DATE	<u> </u>
TITLE	FEIN	1	ADDRESS CHANGE	S TO BE NOTED ABOVE
	TO REO INSERT NAME AND	ADDDESS IN S		



State of West Virginia Department of Administration Quotation Purchasing Division 2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130

Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for

AFQ NUMBER TAX09001

SHELLY MURRAY 304-558-8801

VENDOR

RFQ COPY TYPE NAME/ADDDEGG DEDE Eric Ebert Appraisal Research Corp PO Box 1002 Findlay OH 45839

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV 25301 304-558-3940

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF:

DATE PRINTED		TER	MS OF SAL	E	SHIP V	A		O.B.		FREIGHT TERMS	
08/08/2008											
BID OPENING DATE	:	08/22/2	2008			BID C	PENING	TIME	01	:30PM	
LINE	QUA	NTITY	UOP	CAT	ITEMNUM	IBER .	UN	IIT PRICE		AMOUNT	
<u>                                      </u>	25 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		9899889898988						994999999		
	<b>1</b>			ADI	DENDUM NO.	4					
		;									
	1		n IS :	SSUE	r dda or ¢	THE FOLI	OWING				
	ATTACHI	MENTS:									
	א מיימיא כינ זו	MTPNTPPCI -	מיים איים		 WV COMPUTE	א מפדם	או או אויבוידיי	מדמם חדה	TNC		
	ATTACH	MENIS:	E .	<b>}</b>	AV COMPUTE SAL MANUAI		PIED DAI	ND PRIC	TING		
			1 14 0 111	I IUIL.		-					
	THE BI	D OPEN	NG DA	ATE W	LL REMAIN	T: 08/24	/2008.				
										ŀ	
0001		7	LS		 946-15		ATT 1				
<b>4</b> 0 0		1	ľ		1						
WILL STATE OF THE	APPRAI	SAL SE	RVICE:	, RE	AL ESTATE	_					
	EXHIBI	m 10									
	EYHTBT	1 10									
					REQUIS	SITION 1	O.: TA	X09001			
***************************************						4					
	ADDEND	UM ACKI	homre	DEME:	hт						
1		*>*** ** ******			Lanton of	1711 TO TOO	T OTITALO	CITITI CITAT	175		
	T HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THE FOLLOWING CHECKED ADDENDUM(S) AND HAVE MADE THE NECESSARY REVISIONS TO MY										
-					SPECIFICA			10110 10	, 111		
				'		,					
	ADDEND	UM NO.	S:								
	770 7										
	NO. 1	• • • • • •	•								
	NO. 2										
								•			
	NO. 3	• • • • • •									
COMPICE				SEERE	VERSE SIDE FOR T		NDITIONS		loare		
SIGNATURE						TELEPHONE			DATE		
TITLE	FEIN							ADDRESS CHANGES TO BE NOTED ABOVE			



VENDOR

State of West Virginia Department of Administration—Quotation Purchasing Division 2019 Washington Street East Post Office Box 50130 Charleston, WV 25305-0130

Request for

RFO NUMBER TAX09001

ADDRESS CHANGES TO BE NOTED ABOVE

RFO COPY TYPE NAME/ADDRESS HERE

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE TO ATTENTION OF SHELLY MURRAY 304-558-8801

DEPARTMENT OF TAX & REVENUE PROPERTY TAX DIVISION GREENBROKE BUILDING 1124 SMITH STREET CHARLESTON, WV 25301 304-558-3940

DATE PRINTED TERMS OF SALE. SHIP VIA FOB. FREIGHT TERMS 08/08/2008 **BID OPENING DATE:** 08/22/2008 BID OPENING TIME 01:30PM CAT. QUANTITY UOP ITEM NUMBER UNIT PRICE LINE AMOUNT I UNDERSTAND THAT FAILURE TO CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF THE ADDENDUM(S) MAY BE CAUSE FOR REJECTION OF BIDS. VENDOR MUST CLEARLY UNDERSTAND THAT ANY VERBAL REPRESENTATION MADE OR ASSUMED TO BE MADE DURING ANY DRAL DISCUSSION HELD BETWEEN VENDOR'S REPRESENTATIVES ONLY THE AND ANY STATE PERSONNEL IS NOT BINDING INFORMATION ISSUED IN WRITING AND ADDED TO THE SPECIFICATIONS BY AN OFFICIAL ADDENDUM IS BINDING. anne Maynard...
signature
Appraisal Research Corpcompany 08 12 08 DATE REV. 11/96 END OF ADDENDUM NO. 4 SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS. SIGNATURE TITLE