

ALLAN L. MCVEY
CABINET SECRETARY

# STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION PURCHASING DIVISION

2019 WASHINGTON STREET, EAST CHARLESTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25305-0130

March 14, 2019

W. MICHAEL SHEETS
DIRECTOR

Scott Eplin, District Manager Division of Highways, District 2 801 Madison Avenue Huntington, West Virginia 25712

Dear Mr. Eplin:

This is to transmit the final copy of the Purchasing Review of the West Virginia Division of Highways, District 2.

A preliminary copy of this report was submitted to your agency for comment. Where significant comments were returned by your agency, we have included those as part of this final review report.

A Corrective Action Plan Request will follow requesting your response to all the compliance issues identified in this report.

Thank you for your cooperation and that of your staff during this review.

Respectfully,

W. Michael Sheets, CPPO

-. Matt

Director

West Virginia Purchasing Division

**Enclosure** 

cc: Linda Hatfield-Corder, District 2 Comptroller, Division of Highways

Maria Catalano, Auditor, Division of Highways

# WV PURCHASING DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT

Department of Transportation

Division of Highways District 2

FISCAL YEAR 2018

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Inspection Report
- 2. Comparison With Prior Inspection
- 3. Inspection Report Attachment A
- 4. Grading Letter
- 5. Letter Grade
- 6. Agency Comments & Purchasing Division Response- Attachment B

# INTRODUCTION

We conducted a review of the internal procurement policies and procedures of the West Virginia Division of Highways, District 2. Our review was conducted on November 13-16, 2018 and was made under the authority provided by West Virginia Code §5A-3-4(a) (5) and the Code of State Rules §148-1-4.14.

The review was directed principally to determine whether agency purchasing transactions for the period under review were, in all material respects, in compliance with statute, rules and procedures

Our review indicated that, for the period of July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018, the agency processed 9,095 procurement transactions with a value of \$71,692,444.41. (These amounts are approximate, subject to reporting limitations from WVOASIS (including possible data entry error) and errors caused by elimination of duplicate results).

# **SCOPE**

We conducted our review in accordance with applicable provisions of Code and Rule; the Purchasing Division's Procedures Handbook; and guidelines, procedures and processes that govern the purchasing process. Our review encompassed an analysis of the internal procurement operating procedures of the spending unit and its related policies to the extent we deemed necessary to formulate an opinion on the adequacy of the system to properly manage procurement transactions.

For the stated period, we selected a judgmental sample of procurement transactions for compliance testing and performed other procedures that we considered necessary to formulate this opinion. Specifically, the scope of our review included, but was not limited to, an examination of the following:

- (1) Proper award (lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications),
- (2) Use of Statewide Contracts,
- (3) Use of internal resources,
- (4) Failure to Bid at Delegated Level,
- (5) Verification of vendor registration,
- (6) Verification of unemployment and workers' compensation, vendor status with Secretary of State's office, debarred vendor list,
- (7) Presence of signed notarized Affidavit,
- (8) Issuance of purchase order,
- (9) Fixed Asset transactions,
- (10) Certification of Non-Conflict,
- (11) Evidence of stringing, and
- (12) Attendance of Purchasing Division training conferences.

# **RESULTS OF REVIEW**

Issue 1 (Three Findings)

Date 05/17/18

Description Hydraulic Parts Vendor American Hydraulic Ser. Amount.

Invoice \$768.82 PRCC,PC15\*5414

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$12,915.46 in 24 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

### Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

### Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### Recommendation

# **Issue 2** (One Finding)

# Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (INDEQP) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### <u>Issue 3</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 05/17/18 Parts Applied MSS. <u>Amount</u> <u>\$286.90 PRCC,PC15\*7709</u>

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$4,217.58 in 24 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### <u>Issue 4</u> (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/08/2018 Cleaner Atco Manufacturing \$1,204.00 PRCC.PC15\*9554

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$5,236.84 in 9 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### Recommendation

### <u>Issue 5</u> (Two Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/14/18 Hydraulic hose Belo Mine Supply. \$244.32 PRCC,PC15\*3443

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$9,367.97 in 61 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

### Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### Recommendation

### Issue 6 (Two Findings)

Date<br/>06/18/18Description<br/>Saw repairVendor<br/>Berry's Saw and MowerAmount<br/>\$246.75Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*7703

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$5,909.84 in 20 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

# • Finding – B

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

### Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### Recommendation

# Issue 7 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Oly31/18</u> Description <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> Sert Wolf \$40.59 PRCC,PC15\*0604

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### Issue 8 (One Finding)

<b>Date</b>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
06/15/18	Мар	C & B Blueprint	\$66.00	PRCC,PC15*3357

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,946.46 in 21 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### <u>Issue 9</u> (Three Findings)

Date<br/>01/03/18Description<br/>GateVendor<br/>Carl Bailey ClassicAmount<br/>\$2,499.00Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*0504

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$6,478.00 in 5 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### • Recommendation

### Issue 10 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
05/17/18	Mat rental	Cintas	\$130.12	PRCC,PC15*7255

### Summary:

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$15,954.42 in 245 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

# • Recommendation

### Issue 11 (Two Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 03/28/18 Wrecker Service Cogan's Wrecker \$9,126.70 PRCC,PC15\*4141

### Finding – A

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

# Finding – B

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

# <u>Issue 12</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 04/13/18 Tarps <u>Discount Industrial</u> \$2,453.15 PRCC,PC15\*4741

### • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (INDEQP) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### <u>Issue 13</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/18/18 Pest control Dodson Pest Control \$510.00 PRCC,PC15\*1662

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,465.00 in 52 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

# • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Issue 14 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/15/18 Chemicals Dubois Chemicals \$1,773.26 PRCC,PC15\*1026

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$7,503.26 in 5 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding - C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

# Recommendation

# <u>Issue 15</u> (One Finding)

Date<br/>06/11/18Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Dutch MillerAmount<br/>\$163.59Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*1924

# Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### Issue 16 (Three Findings)

Date<br/>01/08/18Description<br/>DoorVendor<br/>Electronic SpecialtyAmount<br/>\$3,071.00Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*6980

# Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

# Issue 17 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 05/14/18 Bolts Fastenal \$48.52 PRCC,PC15\*9871

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (FASTEN) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### Issue 18 (One Finding)

Date<br/>01/09/18Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Fisher Auto PartsAmount<br/>\$36.72Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*3114

### Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

### <u>Issue 19</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Vendor</u>	Amount	Invoice
04/04/18	Filter	Fleetpride	\$241.68	PRCC,PC15*4903

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$4,850.54 in 12 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Issue 20 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> 06/11/18

Description
Wrecker service

Vendor Gaylocks Wrecker Amount \$591.00

Invoice PRCC,PC15\*9586

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$12,555.77 in 29 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 - Affidavit.

### • Recommendation

### Issue 21 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 05/22/18 Parts Gillie Hyde \$160.53 PRCC,PC15\*7824

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$7,072.57 in 11 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### • Recommendation

### **Issue 22** (Three Findings)

Date<br/>06/18/18Description<br/>PartsVendor<br/>HajocaAmount<br/>\$526.43Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*5579

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$9,512.08 in 20 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

# Recommendation

## <u>Issue 23</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 01/08/18 Tools Harbor Freight \$144.98 PRCC,PC15\*6684

## • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (INDEQP) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

## • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

## Issue 24 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
04/26/18	Waste pick up	Heritage	\$510.00	PRCC,PC15*1662

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,465.00 in 52 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### • Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Issue 25 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 04/16/18 Shop supplies Hill Manufacturing \$1,070.00 PRCC,PC15\*6566

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$17,597.50 in 10 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## Recommendation

## Issue 26 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/12/18 lce Home City Ice \$89.00 PRCC,PC15\*1315

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$17,720.44 in 86 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## Recommendation

## Issue 27 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 10/05/17 Pressure washer Hotsy \$5,775.09 PRCC,PC15\*6683

## Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (INDEQP) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

## • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

## Issue 28 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 03/05/18 Hydraulics Huntington Hose \$317.60 PRCC,PC15\*9511

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$20,464.28 in 137 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## • Recommendation

## **Issue 29** (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 01/08/18 Lights for truck In Electronic Comm. \$2,933.80 PRCC,PC15\*7800

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## • Finding – B

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

## Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

## Issue 30 (Five Findings)

DateDescriptionVendorAmountInvoice01/19/18Plow shoesIronhawk Industrial\$5,207.74PRCC,PC15\*1189

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 - Affidavit.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

## Finding – D

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

## Finding – E

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

## <u>Issue 31</u> (Five Findings)

DateDescriptionVendorAmountInvoice04/26/18TransmissionJasper Engine\$3,898.00PRCC,PC15\*1557

### Summary:

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$11,311.00 in 4 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

## • Finding – D

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

## • Finding – E

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

## <u>Issue 32</u> (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/18/18 Glass Justice Glass \$393.23 PRCC,PC15\*0318

## **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$8,180.95 in 26 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## Recommendation

## <u>Issue 33</u> (Five Findings)

Date<br/>10/20/17Description<br/>Retread tiresVendor<br/>Kings TireAmount<br/>\$7,050.00Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*1179

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

\_\_\_\_\_

## • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 — Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

## • Finding – D

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

## • Finding – E

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

## Issue 34 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
11/03/17	Repair	Konecranes	\$750.00	PRCC,PC15*6150

## **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,833.00 in 4 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## **Issue 35** (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
06/18/18	Parts	Lawson Products	\$1,916.69	PRCC,PC15*5593

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$23,021.98 in 35 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

# • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## Recommendation

## Issue 36 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
07/28/17	Valve	Matz Equipment	\$476.00	PRCC,PC15*2246

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,965.85 in 6 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding -- A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Issue 37 (One Finding)

Date<br/>09/13/17Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Mike Ferrell FordAmount<br/>\$122.48Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*1735

## • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

## • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

## Issue 38 (Four Findings)

Date<br/>09/08/17Description<br/>Ladder rackVendor<br/>Mullins DistributingAmount<br/>\$3,255.00Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*5276

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$6,510.00 in 2 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

## • Finding – D

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

## Issue 39 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Invoice</u>
05/19/18	Hydraulics	Nova Rubber	\$230.35	PRCC,PC15*7241

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$2,674.41 in 14 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Issue 40 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
08/03/17	Poly wafers	Old Dominion Brush	\$1,357.00	PRCC,PC15*1544

### Summary:

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$4,133.50 in 3 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Issue 41 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/15/18 Molly lube Pro Chem. \$416.07 PRCC,PC15\*1026

## Summary:

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$13,350.45 in 23 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## • Recommendation

## <u>Issue 42</u> (One Finding)

Date<br/>06/13/18Description<br/>Volt meterVendor<br/>RemichelAmount<br/>\$124.57Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*7028

## • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (INDEQP) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

## Issue 43 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
06/13/18	Parts	Rudd Equipment	\$204.78	PRCC,PC15*5806

## Summary:

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$3,820.08 in 21 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## <u>Issue 44</u> (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/15/18 Fire extinguisher insp. Sentry Fire Protection \$661.17 PRCC,PC15\*1021

## **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$7,364.91 in 17 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

## Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## • Recommendation

## <u>Issue 45</u> (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 06/13/18 Insect repellant Share Corporation \$2,335.06 PRCC,PC15\*1306

### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$20,992.25 in 21 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

## Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

## • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

## • Recommendation

#### Issue 46 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
06/14/18	Lights	SQ Electric Services	\$1,738.71	PRCC,PC15*1680

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$13,629.75 in 12 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

#### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

# Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

# • Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

#### <u>Issue 47</u> (One Finding)

Date<br/>06/17/18Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Stephens AutoAmount<br/>\$330.76Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*7733

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

#### • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

#### Issue 48 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
05/09/18	Grease tubes	Texas Refinery	\$1,315.50	PRCC,PC15*8691

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$4,804.82 in 7 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

# • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

## Issue 49 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Vendor</u>	Amount	Invoice
02/28/18	Elevator Maintenance	The Murphy Elevator Co	\$280.00	PRCC,PC15*9883

#### Summary:

Although the individual transaction listed above falls below verbal bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$2,800.00 in 11 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

# • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

# <u>Issue 50</u> (One Finding)

Date<br/>06/19/18Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Tim Short ChevyAmount<br/>\$67.50Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*9665

#### • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

#### <u>Issue 51</u> (One Finding)

Date<br/>06/25/18Description<br/>Auto partsVendor<br/>Todd Judy FordAmount<br/>\$64.62Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*0322

#### Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

# <u>Issue 52</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
10/23/17	Auto parts	Turnpike Ford	\$100.92	PRCC,PC15*6196

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (MVAPRTS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at <a href="https://www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase">www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase</a>.

# **Issue 53** (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Invoice
02/08/18	<b>Bottled water</b>	Tyler Mountain	\$137.75	PRCC,PC15*0354

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (WVARF) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

# <u>Issue 54</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	<b>Description</b>	<u>Vendor</u>	Amount	Invoice
09/20/17	Package	UPS	\$25.80	PRCC,PC15*0073

# • Finding – A

The listed item was not purchased from the Statewide Contract (PKGSVS) and no waiver from the Purchasing Division was present.

# • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Statewide Contract Availability.

#### Recommendation

We recommend investigating the statewide contracts before proceeding with future procurements. The statewide contracts are available for viewing at www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase.

#### Issue 55 (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Vendor</u> <u>Amount</u> <u>Invoice</u> 03/06/18 Garage Door Repair Varney Door Co \$2,037.94 PRCC,PC15\*8108

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$6,419.07 in 6 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

#### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### • Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

#### Issue 56 (Three Findings)

Date<br/>11/03/17Description<br/>Bed repairVendor<br/>WE-HeritageAmount<br/>\$975.00Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*3905

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$19,048.47 in 83 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

#### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

# Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### • Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

#### <u>Issue 57</u> (Three Findings)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
09/28/17	Truck parts	Webbs Truck Parts	\$2,781.54	PRCC,PC15*6392

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$13,032.89 in 51 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

#### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

# • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

#### <u>Issue 58</u> (Five Findings)

DateDescriptionVendorAmountInvoice05/17/18TransmissionWestern Branch Diesel\$11,295.07PRCC,PC15\*2330

#### Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file.

#### • Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

#### Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

#### Finding – D

There was no indication that an agency Purchase Order was issued.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #8 – PO Issued.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that approved forms be used to make an award.

#### Finding – E

The listed file did not include the required Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #10 – Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest (where applicable).

#### Issue 59 (Three Findings)

Date<br/>04/27/18Description<br/>SpringsVendor<br/>WV Spring and RadiatorAmount<br/>\$304.40Invoice<br/>PRCC,PC15\*5774

#### **Summary:**

Although the individual transactions listed above falls below written bid limits, the agency spent a total of \$9,012.99 in 13 transactions with this vendor during the fiscal year under review.

#### • Finding – A

Documentation showed no evidence of competitive bidding and no justification for a sole-source purchase.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #3 – Bid Documentation.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation, including, but not limited to: specifications used when making the purchase, listing of bids, all correspondence and any justification/explanation required for the transaction.

#### • Finding – B

The listed purchase did not include proof of coverage for either worker's compensation, unemployment compensation or Secretary of State Registration.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #5 – Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation and Secretary of State Registration.

#### Recommendation

We recommend verifying proof of Secretary of State Registration, workers' compensation and unemployment compensation coverage prior to award. Further, we recommend retaining this documentation with the purchasing file

# Finding – C

The listed file did not include the required purchasing "Affidavit."

# • Requirement

See Attachment A point #6 – Affidavit.

#### Recommendation

We recommend maintaining purchasing files with all documentation including the Affidavit.

# <u>Issue 60</u> (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
06/18/18	Steel	Huntington Steel	\$220.00	PRCC,PC15*3460

#### Summary:

During the period under review, the agency spent a total of \$34,176.86 in 36 transactions with this vendor which exceeds the formal bid limit of \$25,000.00.

# • Finding – A

The pattern of transactions and amount spent with this vendor during the fiscal year could be construed as stringing.

#### • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Stringing.

#### Recommendation

## Issue 61 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
06/15/18	Parts	Kimball Midwest	\$2,456.61	PRCC,PC15*6669

# Summary:

During the period under review, the agency spent a total of \$30,469.04 in 49 transactions with this vendor which exceeds the formal bid limit of \$25,000.00.

#### • Finding – A

The pattern of transactions and amount spent with this vendor during the fiscal year could be construed as stringing.

#### • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Stringing.

#### Recommendation

# Issue 62 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
<del>06/14</del> /18	Filters	McClanahan Service	\$1,109.02	PRCC,PC15*3630

# **Summary:**

During the period under review, the agency spent a total of \$26,483.95 in 25 transactions with this vendor which exceeds the formal bid limit of \$25,000.00.

# • Finding – A

The pattern of transactions and amount spent with this vendor during the fiscal year could be construed as stringing.

# • Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Stringing.

#### Recommendation

#### Issue 63 (One Finding)

<u>Date</u>	Description	Vendor	Amount	Invoice
06/13/18	Tar remover	Momar	\$1,481.01	PRCC,PC15*9098

#### Summary:

During the period under review, the agency spent a total of \$39,133.34 in 34 transactions with this vendor which exceeds the formal bid limit of \$25,000.00.

#### • Finding – A

The pattern of transactions and amount spent with this vendor during the fiscal year could be construed as stringing.

#### Requirement

See Attachment A, point #1 – Stringing.

#### Recommendation

# **SUMMARY**

This review of 382 selected transactions from an approximate 9,095 total transactions yielded 131 findings associated with 63 of the selected transactions. This indicates that approximately 16% of the transactions reviewed had one or more problems of potential nonconformity with Purchasing Division laws, rules and/or regulations.

In October 2018, the Purchasing Division conducted our annual purchasing conference for agency procurement officers at Camp Dawson. At this conference, attendees were offered the opportunity to attend 11 workshops focusing on more than 30 different topics.

Listed below, you will find the names of your Agency employees who participated in our conference and the number of classes they attended:

Angie Moorman: attended 4 workshops (11 available)

Based on the findings contained in this report, we strongly suggest that the Division of Highways District 2 comply with all recommendations and take appropriate action as required.

A Corrective Action Plan Request will follow requesting your response to all the compliance issues identified in this report.

# **COMPARISON WITH PRIOR INSPECTION**

A previous inspection of the West Virginia Division of Highway District 2 was conducted in February of 2015. The review consisted of 401 selected transactions from an approximate 10,029 total transactions from July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. This review resulted in 90 findings associated with 58 of the selected transactions. This indicated that 14% of the transactions reviewed during the inspection had one or more problems of potential nonconformity with Purchasing Division laws, rules, and/or regulations.

When comparing the statistics from 2015 to the current 2019 inspection, below is a summary of the issues identified during the 2015 inspection, as compared to the findings from the current inspection.

Summary of Problem Transactions					
Findings	2015	2018			
Stringing	3	4			
Statewide Contract	6	16			
Internal Resources	0	0			
Failure to Bid at Delegated Level	34	42			
Vendor Registration	1	0			
Verification Searches	21	26			
Affidavit	20	27			
wvOasis Award Document/Purchase Order	3	8			
Asset Tag/Number	0	0			
Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest	2	8			
Miscellaneous Issue	0	0			
Total	90	131			

There are multiple training resources available from the Purchasing Division in-house training program, as well as, through on-line training modules. These training resources would assist in educating procurement staff in purchasing procedures/requirements. Additional information can be obtained by contacting Samantha Knapp, Staff Development Specialist, at (304) 558-7022 or by visiting the Purchasing Division's website <a href="http://www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase/training/default.html">http://www.state.wv.us/admin/purchase/training/default.html</a>.

# **Inspection Report - Attachment A**

Requirements from the West Virginia Purchasing Division Procedures Handbook (Issuance Date: July 1, 2015), the West Virginia Code, the West Virginia Code of State Rules (148 CSR 1) and the West Virginia State Travel Policy (July 1, 2015).

# 1. Statewide Contract Availability:

Section 3.6.2.1 of the Handbook states:

"No agency may be exempt from using statewide contracts without prior written approval from the Purchasing Director."

#### Section 5.1 of the Handbook states:

"Prior to seeking competitive bids, agencies must first check the following mandatory contracts or internal resources: statewide contract or agency open-end contract existing for the commodity or service. . . . . . "

#### 2. Internal Resources:

#### Section 5.1 of the Handbook states:

"Prior to seeking competitive bids, agencies must first check the following mandatory contracts or internal resources. . . Surplus Property, Correctional Industries and the West Virginia Association of Rehabilitation Facilities."

#### Section 3.6.1.2 of the Handbook states:

"In accordance with West Virginia Code, §25-7-5, all offices, departments, institutions and agencies of the state which are supported in whole or in part by this state shall purchase, and all political subdivisions of this state may purchase, all articles or products which they require from the commissioner, if those articles or products are produced or manufactured by correctional industries, as provided by article 7 of Chapter 25 of the West Virginia Code. No state office, department, institution or agency may purchase an article or product which correctional industries produces from any other source, unless specifically excepted from the provisions of §25-7-5 pursuant to §25-7-6."

#### Section 3.6.1.3 of the Handbook states:

"All state agencies are required by West Virginia Code, §5A-3-10, to purchase commodities and services from sheltered workshops whenever such commodities and services are available and meet certain quality and price standards which are comparable to open-market sources."

# 3. Failure to Bid at Delegated Level:

Section 5.1.2 of the Handbook states:

"Purchases \$2,500.01 to \$5,000.00: A minimum of three (3) verbal bids are required, when possible, and the lowest bid meeting specification must be awarded the purchase order/contract. All bids must be present in the file. Bids shall be documented and recorded for public record."

#### Section 5.1.3 of the Handbook states:

"Purchases \$5,000.01 to \$25,000.00: A minimum of three (3) written bids are required, when possible, and the lowest bid meeting specification must be awarded the purchase order/contract. All bids must be present in the file."

"An Agency Request for Quotation or a Centralized Request for Quotation should be used for documenting and making these requests. In all cases, state agencies must attempt to obtain at least three (3) written bids for a product or service. A "no bid" is not considered a bid."

# 4. Vendor Registration:

Section 5.2.3 of the Handbook states:

"Prior to an award, a vendor must be in compliance with the following and the agency shall retain documentation in the file:

Vendor registration process (must be registered and the fee paid if applicable)."

#### 5. Verification Searches:

Section 5.2.3 of the Handbook states:

#### Workers' Compensation/Unemployment Compensation:

"In accordance with the West Virginia Code §21A-2-6, verification of current unemployment fee status and Workers' Compensation coverage is required to ensure the vendor is not in default with Workers' Compensation and Employment Compensation." wvOASIS automatically verifies compliance prior to award

#### • State/Federal Debarment:

"Verification that the vendor is not debarred by the federal government. wvOASIS automatically verifies this federal compliance prior to award."

# • Vendors licensed and in good standing – Secretary of State's Office

"In accordance with the **Code of State Rules** 148 CSR 6.1.7, the vendor must be licensed and in good standing with any and all state and local law and requirements, including proper registration and good standing with the Secretary of State's office and the State Tax Department, regardless of payment method, To search for a business or corporation with the Secretary of

State's office, visit http://apps.sos.wv.gov/business/corporations. Agencies must verify this compliance prior to award."

#### 6. Affidavit:

Section 5.2.3 of the Handbook states:

"Purchasing Affidavit (required on all contracts exceeding \$5,000):"

# 7. Agreement Addendum: (WV-96)

Section 5.2.3 of the Handbook states:

"Required when vendors submit alternate terms and condition with their bid"

#### 8. wvOASIS Procurement Award Document:

Section 5.1.2 of the Handbook states (for purchases \$2,500.01 to \$5,000.00)

"A wvOASIS procurement award document is <u>required</u> for purchases exceeding \$2500. Awards are to be made only to vendors who are properly registered with the Purchasing Division."

Section 5.1.3 of the Handbook states (for purchases of \$5,000.01 to \$25,000.00):

"An Agency Request for Quotation or a Centralized Request for Quotation should be used for documenting and making these requests."

# 9. Asset Number Required:

Section 5.5 of the Handbook states:

"Fixed Assets: After payment has been made to the vendor, reportable property must be added to the Fixed Assets module of wvOASIS."

#### 10. Certificate of Non-Conflict of Interest:

Section 5.2.3 of the handbook states:

"A public record of all purchasing transactions must be kept on file at the agency location. . . This file must also include a Certificate of Non-Conflict of Interest.."

## 11. Receiving:

Section 5.3 of the handbook states:

"In accordance with the West Virginia Sates Auditor's receiving report requirements (Code of State Rules, §155-1-4), materials must be opened and inspected within 24 hours of receipt. . . Agencies must verify the shipment against the specifications in the purchase order and retain a copy of the receiving report prepared for the Auditor's office with the purchasing file. For receipt of services, a receiving report similar in form to that required by the Auditor's office shall be completed, signed and retained with the purchasing file."

"spending units may include a memorandum to file in the purchasing file indicating the storage location of the receiving reports. The actual reports shall be produced on demand, in accordance with the Code of State Rules, §148-1-4-14"

## 12. Stringing:

According to the Purchasing Division's Procedures Handbook, the definition for "stringing" is "issuing a series of requisitions or purchase orders to circumvent competitive bidding or to defeat the State purchasing card transaction or delegated purchasing limit."

The West Virginia Code, §5A-3-10 states:

- (a) A purchase of and contract for commodities, printing and services shall be based, whenever possible, on competitive bids.
- (b) The director shall solicit sealed bids for the purchase of commodities and printing which is estimated to exceed twenty-five thousand dollars. No spending unit shall issue a series of requisitions or divide or plan procurements to circumvent this twenty-five thousand dollar threshold or otherwise avoid the use of sealed bids. Any spending unit which awards multiple contracts for the same or similar commodity or service to an individual vendor over any twelve-month period, the total value of which exceeds twenty-five thousand dollars, shall file copies of all contracts awarded to the vendor within the twelve preceding months with the director immediately upon exceeding the twenty-five thousand dollar limit, along with a statement explaining how the multiple contract awards do not circumvent the twenty-five thousand dollar threshold. If the spending unit does not immediately report to the director, the director may suspend the purchasing authority of the spending unit until the spending unit complies with the reporting requirement of this subsection. The director may conduct a review of any spending unit to ensure compliance with this subsection. Following a review, the director shall complete a report summarizing his or her findings and forward the report to the spending unit. In addition, the director shall report to the Joint Committee on Government and Finance on the first day of January and July of each year the spending units which have reported under this subsection and the findings of the director. . . .

#### Additionally, the Legislative Rule §148-1 states that the Director shall:

7.2.b If an agency fails to comply with the procedures and requirements established for purchases of \$25,000 or less, the Director has the ability to:

- 7.2.b.1 Suspend or reduce purchasing authority for that spending unit,
- 7.2.b.2 Require the spending to provided additional reports and documentation relating to purchases of \$25,000 or less for Purchasing Division review.
- 7.2.b.3 Require the agency to submit to additional oversight tht the Director deems appropriate, or
- 7.2.b.4 Require that the agency personnel responsible for purchases of \$25,000 or less participate in remedial training provided by the Purchasing Division

#### 13. Travel:

Section 5.2.2.1, 5.2.2.2 of the State Travel Policy states:

"Privately-owned vehicles may be used for state travel with agency approval when agency owned or leased vehicles are unavailable. A privately-owned vehicle should not be used when reimbursement costs are expected to exceed \$50.00 per day or mileage reimbursement is expected to cost more than the cost of commercial travel (air, rental car, etc.). Milage allowance for privately owned vehicles engaged in State business will be based on the GSA rate in effect at the trime of the travel. The rate covers all operating costs such as fuel, maintenance, insurance, etc., and no additional reimbursement will be made for such expenses."

#### Section 5.2.4.1 of the State Travel Policy states:

A commercial rental vehicle should only be used when a temporary need arises, a state vehicle is unavailable and the cost will be less than the reimbursement associated with a privately-owned vehicle. Only the Traveler renting the commercial rental vehicle is permitted to operate the vehicle. If state employees are traveling together it must be noted on the rental agreement if they will operate the vehicle.

R.9(07/1/2015) Attachment A Page 5 of 5

# West Virginia Division of Highways District 2 FY2018 Statistics

Transactions Reviewed

382

Non-Conformance Rate (See Summary Page)

16.0%

Conformance Rate

84.0%

Findings Summary					
Finding Category	Number of Findings	Finding Percentage	Points possible	Points Received	
Stringing	4	1.05%	30	0	
Statewide Contract	16	4.19%	15	9	
Internal Resources	0	0.00%	15	15	
Failure to Bid	42	10.99%	15	6	
Vendor Registration	0	0.00%	5	5	
Verification Searches	26	6.81%	5	4	
Affidavit	27	7.07%	5	4	
Award Document	8	2.09%	3	2.4	
Asset Tag/Number	0	0.00%	3	3	
Certification of Non-Conflict of Interest	8	2.09%	3	2.4	
Miscellaneous Issue	0	0.00%	1	1	
Total	131		100	51.8	

Overall Agency Score	
Non-Conformance & Findings Average	Overall Letter Grade
67.9%	D

#### Scale (See Weight Application\*)

91.66 -100 = A

83.33 -- 91.65 = B

75 -- 83.32 = C

66.66 -- 74.99 = D

<66.65 = F

The Finding Summary and Number of Findings does not directly correlate with the Transactions Reviewed and Non-Conformance Rate because each transaction can yield multiple findings, and findings like stringing can include multiple transactions in a single finding.

# Attachment B

# Agency Comments and Purchasing Division Response

# **SUMMARY OF AGENCY COMMENTS & PURCHASING DIVISION RESPONSE**

On November 13-16, 2018 the West Virginia Purchasing Division provided the West Virginia Division of Highways District 2 with a draft copy of the Purchasing Division Inspection Report for Fiscal Year 2018. Comments from the agency were received on February 22, 2019. This inspection of 382 selected transactions yielded 131 findings associated with 63 of the selected transactions. This indicates that approximately 16% of the transactions reviewed had one or more problems of potential nonconformity with Purchasing Division laws, rules and/or regulations.

	Agency Comments & Purchasing Division Response				
Issue #	Agency Response	Purchasing Response			
15	Dutch Miller—Dealer only item.	Reference Section 3.6.2.1 Statewide Contracts in the West Virginia Procedures Handbook: The listed part is available on the Motor Vehicle Auto Parts Statewide Contract. If the item is available but cannot meet time, quality, quantity, or other factors, the agency must obtain a waiver from the Purchasing Division. If the item is not available, the agency must obtain documentation from the vendor and must have that documentation in the file at the time of inspection. The finding stands.			
27	Hotsy—Grainger could not supply specifications needed for the requested item. Requested pressure washer was 3000 PSI, natural-gas powered. This was put out for verbal bids. The pressure washer was purchased from the lowest bidder. It had to be returned because the item sent was a 3-phase electric pressure washer. We had no power source to operate, and we had requested natural gas powered. It was returned, and a natural gas-powered pressure washer was purchased from Hotsy.	If the item is not available from the INDEQP Statewide Contract, the agency must obtain documentation from the vendor and must have that documentation in the file <b>at the time</b> of inspection. The finding stands.			
36	Justice Glass—Duplicated of Issue 32	This finding was a duplicate. The finding has been rescinded.			

	Agency Comments & Purchasing Division Response				
Issue #	Agency Response	Purchasing Response 5			
51	Tim Short Chevrolet – Item purchased was out of stock at NAPA Auto Parts.	Reference Section 3.6.2.1 Statewide Contracts in the West Virginia Procedures Handbook: If the item is available but cannot meet time, quality, quantity, or other factors, the agency must obtain a waiver from the Purchasing Division. If the item is not available, the agency must obtain documentation from the vendor and must have that documentation in the file at the time of inspection. The finding stands.			